

The following transcript is provided for your convenience, but does not represent the official record of this meeting. The transcript is provided by the firm that provides closed captioning services to the City. Because this service is created in real-time as the meeting progresses, it may contain errors and gaps, but is nevertheless very helpful in determining the gist of what occurred during this meeting.

>>> I'll call to order the Rules and open committee meeting for November 16th. Any changes to the printed agenda? We have requested all items in G, appointments, to December 7th, which is the next rooms committee meeting be.

>> That's correct, Mr. Mayor.

>> Rules and -- meeting next week, not rules committee meeting due to the holiday. We'll do that. Any other changes to the agenda order? First meeting to consider is the 29th. Council meeting agenda. Did i mention there's no meeting next week? It's Thanksgiving.

>> I'm thankful for that.

>> We're going to be grateful for that. Anything on page one? Page two or three? Page four or five? Page six or seven? Page eight or nine? I have a question on item 5.1 on page 8. The senior attrition news remodel update. It's already been to committee. Is in some recommendation or action that's moving to the council? I guess the question is, why does this need to be on the council agenda if it's just an update and the committee's already heard it?

>> Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, we did hear it as NFC. Given the fact that the service delivery changed in October, the administration felt and recommended it would be a good idea to have at least a five minute overview of the new service delivery implementation at council.

>> Okay.

>> Mr. Mayor --

>> There's no action.

>> Councilmember Oliverio.

>> I just want to ask his opinion. Do you think that would be a beneficial conversation?

>> I think it's important that all of the councilmembers know what's going on so they can respond to the constituents, but i don't necessarily think we need to have a council discussion on it. So perhaps moving it to consent to get the packet of information and they get the report that the committee saw and if anyone feels the need to discuss it they can pull it.

>> That would be good. I assume that the committee packets are circulated to anybody who wants to see them at the time they come out for the committee. So most councilmembers probably are getting it or at least their staff is getting it anyway. Anything else on page eight or nine? Page 10 or 11? Same question about the 7.1 carry-on bag ordinance implementation. Whether or not there's action recommended from the committee or any action for the council to take or is this just another --

>> Right.

>> Notice of we're doing what you told us to do kind of implementation thing?

>> Mr. Mayor, i believe we've been getting the updates from staff already. I would see moving it to consent as well, or drop.

>> What about just having staff doing an info memo or info e-mail letting people know, is this going into effect, if you're interested see the report to the TNE committee? Certainly understand making sure councilmembers aren't surprised by something that happens, even though pursuant to council direction, sometimes that direction is given a long time ago and we don't want to be surprised. Is that sufficient?

>> All right with me.

>> Heads up to the staff report since there's nothing beyond the staff report that would be presented and we already had a TNE meeting for councilmembers or read it, at least. Why don't we do that.

>> Memo was sufficient?

>> Just a heads-up e-mail or info memo, however the manager would like to do it to bring it to councilmembers attention.

>> Drop 7.1.

>> Drop 7.1. Anything else on page 10 or 11?

>> Should we just do that with both of them, then, since they're pretty much identical? One informational e-mail referring to both agenda packets with links and that way no one can say they didn't get it?

>> I think that's okay. Drop 5.1.

>> Yeah.

>> I love streamlining. So --

>> That's what this is all about.

>> We have committees. The committees have a role to play, and they can get these hears and there's an opportunity for people to weigh in. We don't have to repeat it. Just for information at the council meeting. Anything else on 10 or 11? I have some requests for additions, presentation accommodation to Julian Zamara. Campos,

accommodation of the parks association. We referred that from a prefers rules not make room on the agenda since the last meeting. Any other requests for additions?

>> I'll make a motion to approve with the additions and the drops.

>> Second.

>> Motion approved as amended. All in favor? Opposed? None opposed. That's completed. Redevelopment agency, we have nothing. The 29th agenda. Upcoming study session, agendas. I think we have the meeting scheduled. Study sessions here in a minute.

>> I did have a question on -- maybe that's going to come up in a minute. The December 1st date reserved for study session. Is that still on and do we have a topic for it?

>> If needed.

>> Well, i mean, we're pretty close. If we don't have a topic by now I'd like to let people know, because we're going to be gone with the holiday and coming right back and not have a study session. It would be good to let people know.

>> We have no topics identified. The question is whether or not you want to give up that date, if we have to have - any kind of a meeting. What is -- what day of the week is the 1st? I lost track --

>> Thursday.

>> We're meeting the 29th.

>> Correct.

>> Regular meeting. Yeah we can give up the 1st of the -- meeting, we just looked at the 29th agenda. Something that we'd need to do on the 1st we can probably get on the 29th just as well.

>> We did not have the A topic. We wanted to keep it on there as long as possible in case something did come up. That was the reason for holding it there.

>> But this is the last rules committee meeting before that date.

>> And with two weeks and sunshine rules, if we don't have a topic we're not going to have much to put out, anyway. So --

>> Yeah.

>> So i make a motion we cancel that.

>> Second.

>> Okay. Motion is cancel the study session free up the calendar on the 1st of December. All in favor. Opposed? None opposed. Let's do that. Update, we have nothing under legislative update. Back to meeting schedules. We have a full schedule next year. Before we get to next year I just wanted to let the committee know I'm planning on putting out a memo tomorrow to agendize for December 6th, the re-agendizing the action on declaration of fiscal and service emergency in consideration of action on a belt measure, which has been deferred from, I think, three or four different council meetings. I'll put that memo out tomorrow and I guess my question for the staff is, in terms of supporting documentation, staff reports, things that need to be done, if I get that memo out tomorrow what would be the sequence and timing of the work that would need to go along with it?

>> I think by tuesday of next week, all of that information, staff reports, would be out.

>> That would be two weeks prior to the meeting?

>> Two weeks prior to the meeting. It can come out earlier. By Tuesday at the latest.

>> Okay. There's no action on that. I'm just trying to make sure we can track the dates we've been talking about for a long time. Going to have to make it official. Other meeting schedule issues -- got a memo with a whole bunch of dates. Council schedule a meeting and study sessions, any comments or questions on those, recommended dates to hold looks like the standard holiday schedule kinds of dates. Anything else on this that's unusual?

>> Approve.

>> Second.

>> Motion is to approve. All in favor? Opposed? None opposed. That's approved. How will it get circulated to council members and council staffs beyond the rules packet? We do another memo saying, this is the meeting schedule kind of a thing.

>> Yes.

>> Okay.

>> There is a memo that circulates.

>> Okay. Get it on the calendars as early as possible. A new record on the public record. Nothing submitted this week. So that is a first. The G items referring to December 7th. Item H-2, recommendations for public records, waiver. This was referred to the staff after our discussion about how we have to charge for some smaller --

records requests. A recommendation coming back. I do have that request to speak from. We'll get to that in a minute. Staff, are you planning a presentation on this? I don't think we need a lot of discussion, because we already had three lengthy discussions.

>> Unless you have any questions about the recommendation, we're just here to answer those questions.

>> Okay. Let's see if anybody has questions or comments.

>> I'll just make a comment that I think this is good. I think it will eliminate some of the frustrations we've recently experienced and would like to make a motion to approve.

>> Second.

>> Motion 20 approve the staff recommendations.

>> I'm really concerned of the ability to keep funding allocated for Mr. Norris' group. This is an outstanding group that provides great utility for not only council, the administration, but for citizens in general. Mr. Norris has done an outstanding job in this regard. The proposed standard, I think, should be firmly discussed, and identified, with reference to the waiver. I'm also concerned under retroactive implementation on page 2, of public records access request from a new organization. I'd like to know who that new organization is. I think it's fair, since they're going to be getting public record information, just to know who they are, and outside of that, I'm very grateful to Tom Norris and everything that he does, and I want to make sure that there's funding without causing any ire from the council appointees with reference to the P weaver. Thank you.

>> That's the public testimony. Any other comments?

>> I'd be happy to clarify that. There is a typo in the report. It is a news organization. Lest there be a question. The news organization that has an office in this building.

>> Who shall remain nameless in order to protect their identity. Anything else on that ask we have a motion to approve the staff recommendation. All in favor? Opposed? None opposed. Forum, last item to deal with. Mr. Wall?

>> Due to the -- Mr. Mayor, i want to give you thanks or councilmember Herrera thanks for your service to the nation prior to Veterans Day. There are three separate and distinct writings to give my thanks and gratitude to all of veterans who make everything possible in this country, and that includes you here today and, please, convey my thanks to councilmember Herrera and to all city employees who are veterans of our armed forces. The other issue which was bothering me yesterday was the Cirque du Soleil. And i don't blame council for this, but this reliance on estimated cost from the office of economic development is very troublesome. I don't believe the police department has been adequately benefited by having this circus put in their parking lot, basically. I would like to see Pedro Street closed between West Mission Street and Heading Street basically forever except for public service vehicles. I don't think the attorneys have been compensated whatsoever for this new agreement. Subsequently, I think very serious decisions have to be made on retaining this economic model that they reference in yesterday's agenda item. I don't believe it holds mathematic's merit. Subsequently, I don't believe any of their estimates have any merit. Subsequently, I think that whole office should just be eliminated. I think their staffs could arrange a better cost-effective event planning than what I've seen here in these paper, and I will discuss this further on the public record due to time constraints, but I don't blame you, Mr. Mayor, or council for this per se. I blame the administration, this \$20 million estimate for benefit to the area, for this circus. No, Mr. Mayor, i don't buy it. However, I'm open and charitable, thank you.

>> That includes the open forum. Concludes our meeting. We're adjourned.