

The following transcript is provided for your convenience, but does not represent the official record of this meeting. The transcript is provided by the firm that provides closed captioning services to the City. Because this service is created in real-time as the meeting progresses, it may contain errors and gaps, but is nevertheless very helpful in determining the gist of what occurred during this meeting.

>> Councilmember Pyle: With our wonderful neighborhood services and education committee. Which I'm thrilled to be. We have several items and as we look at the work plan that have been changed. 1, 3 and 5 have been deferred 2 and 4 have been dropped. But we have a very aggressive work plan with those that are left. So I would like to say that there are no items on the consent calendar. And item number 2 will be last. And I'm not sure if anyone is here from tricounty yet. I'm going to wait on that, until the representatives from tricounty do have a chance to be here. So we will begin with number 3. Which is the annual update on partners in reading and family literacy program.

>> Norberto Duenas: Madam Chair, just a clarification. We're going to feed a motion to do the changes on the work plan.

>> Councilmember Pyle: I'm sorry, you're absolutely right. Sorry just being a little eager here. So may I have a motion to approve the work plan?

>> Sure.

>> Councilmember Rocha: I'll second.

>> Councilmember Pyle: All those in favor, aye, that is approved. And moving on now to -- welcome, good to see you hear Mary.

>> Good afternoon, Mary Naku assistant library director and here with me is Judy Kliken, who is the co-manager of the early care and literacy unit and she will give you the annual update.

>> Good afternoon, thank you very much for having us. I'm pleased to report that partners in reading is growing, and expanding, and --

>> Councilmember Pyle: What does that mean?

>> Somehow I've lost my slides! There we go. All right. Very slow on this side. There we go. Partners in reading is the adult and family literacy program. Through San José public library. And what we do is train volunteer tutors to work one on one in small groups and with adults whose literacy skills are around the elementary level. And what the tutors do in working with adults is help them improve their reading, writing, life skills, critical thinking skills as well as computer skills. In addition to the adult literacy component, we have a family literacy component, in which we work with families and parents, to help them understand how they can improve the skills of the children that they are rearing, and the important role that they play as the child's first teacher in developing literacy and in supporting it throughout the school system. Now, over the last year partners in reading have increased both the number of tutors that we've changed as well as the number of learners that we've served. As you can see, last year we served 312 volunteer tutors, we trained them, and they typically stay with the program an average of two years. And we assessed as well as served 335 adults in the program. A couple of new directions that partners in reading has been able to pursue, is vocational literacy, as far as English as a second language. We received a \$93,000 grant from the U.S. Department of Labor to work with incumbent workers, at their work sites, to develop both language and literacy skills. And what we do is go into the work site, analyze what the organization needs, interview and observe the employees, and design customized curriculum around the job task force, embedded with both ESL and literacy skills. We were able to leverage the Department of Labor grant to get the second grant through the California library, and this LSPA grant enabled us to develop curriculum for tutors that supports workplace literacy and the development of workplace skills. So that the reading and writing that the tutors are conveying and teaching is embedded in and supports skills in the workplace. And then we were able to leverage it for a third grant, through the Silicon Valley community foundation. And that's our work smart congratulate. And what the foundation did is say we want to extend the classes that you're already -- have secured through the employers in San José. And we want you to provide more classes and longer classes, so that the impact is that much greater. So currently, we are working with San José State University, we have classes for janitors and housekeeping staff. Next month, we're starting a class for teachers assistants, with the head start program, and the Santa Clara County office of education. And we're also going to be training environmental service workers and food service staff, at Good Samaritan hospital, and Kaiser medical center, San José. Through all these programs, and with these programs they've really been developed through partnerships. And other agencies that we're

working with. And so, through SEIU -- UHWU union we have been able to secure these relationships with these classes as well as Work2Future and CET. So we've collaborated with them in order to identify where the need is and what employers would be open to working with the program and supporting it. In addition, we are working with Catholic charities, we're working with first five, Salvation Army and a number of other partner agencies, to increase their literacy services and ESL services. And this chart just gives you an overview of the percentage of increase that we've seen in the last year. In learners we've increased the numbers we've served by 31%. Our tutors we've increased by 34%. The instructional hours which is really an important component because this is what really impacts the adults that are in the program, has increased by 41%. So the volunteers and the various instructors in the program as well as the learners are committed to that many more hours. And what we've seen is a significant growth in the goals that the adults have achieved. Typically adults will achieve functional goals such as being able to use a computer, securing a better job, becoming a citizen. These are the type of functional goals I'm referring to. Typically, we reach about 650 to 700 goals a year, we're up to 1100 goals that our adults are achieving. So there's a significant increase in that impact. And then there is the volunteer hours. 25,498 volunteer hours were contributed to partners in reading. If we look at that, on full time positions and a full time position is 2080 hours, that's 12 full time positions that's been contributed. And the value of that based on the independent sector projects, is worth over -- projections is worth over \$490,000. We are growing and will continue to be growing. We anticipate this year to serve over 400 adults. Are there any questions?

>> Councilmember Pyle: I want to say what a fabulous program, this is absolutely great, I'm sure my colleagues will have a few questions. No? They're speechless.

>> Councilmember Rocha: I do. What do you attribute the increases that you're getting, and they're fantastic, and I'm just wondering why you're seeing that. Do you have any idea?

>> Well, certainly I think the economy contributes to it, both in increase in volunteers as well as increase in adults looking at the program and becoming aware of the fact that they have to address these literacy needs. Typically the adult will put it off as long as they can and hide from it. But when they're forced to become either technologically savvy or their child comes home and they realize they can't do homework with their child, they

become more aware. In addition to the fact that our partnerships are with many agencies that serve similar populations, so the fact that partners in reading is available and is here, also, the word is spreading. And it's word of mouth that many of the adults hear about the programs, since their literacy skills are so limited. And they don't typically depend on prints.

>> Councilmember Rocha: When do you expect to have your 10-11 numbers available?

>> We should have those numbers probably by the end of July.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Participates with school districts?

>> Yes, we work with east side union, we work with metro ed, we work with various elementary schools where we're delivering some parenting classes, and classes for parents who are interested at ESL. We're at Dahl elementary, so yes, we do work with the school districts.

>> Councilmember Rocha: How do you identify those partnership opportunities? Do they come to you, do you reach out to areas that you see a need?

>> Both.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Okay.

>> One of the things we do is, we've developed a contact list so that whenever there's a tutor training coming up or there's an orientation for new adult learners we get the word out to the partners so they can get it out to their constituents. We work with the parole board, we work with the principals at the various school districts and so we communicate and we're on various committees. So we make sure that that is -- that that's something that gets out into the community. And then of course it's throughout the library system. We have advocates and community contacts throughout all the branches.

>> Councilmember Rocha: And how is your relationship with the local electeds not just here at the city but at any county or assembly, do you partner in noticing, letting folks know?

>> Yes, we do. In fact one of the partners in the work wise program is the Santa Clara County office of education. And we -- I participate in SJ 2020, and a number of the activities that relate to education and the education in the county.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Another last question, and it's on partnerships with teaching credential programs, whether it's local universities or any other models that you could use as volunteers for them to hone their skills, soon to be I guess teachers maybe.

>> Yes, well if fact that we are partners with San José State, and their teacher credential program, as well as their education program, there are many San José students who are tutors in our programs and we do collaborate with the university. And are collaborating more with statewide certification as we're working with the teachers assistants. One of the big issues and one of the reasons why the county office and head start is so interested in the work wise program is because there are such strict requirements for teachers assistants in early care. And to keep up their certification, to keep those positions they have, they have to prove that they are developing skills and they have to ultimately prepare for associate degrees and many of the teachers assistants are not there yet. And so our program helps serve as a transition. So one they don't lose their jobs and two, they're able to develop the skills so that they can go on, and increase and promote in advance within the fields.

>> Councilmember Rocha: That's fantastic. What about community colleges? No potential there?

>> There is potential there.

>> Councilmember Rocha: I'm sure you're spread thin as it is. But I just -- since you mentioned the associate degree it got me thinking.

>> Yes. We don't have any -- currently any strong relationships with the community college. But that is definitely developing through the work wise program.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Thank you for your work and this presentation. Thank you very much.

>> Thank you.

>> Councilmember Pyle: It just thrills me to no end that we're upgrading our literacy skills so that's great, with that may I have a motion for approval.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Move approval.

>> I'll second.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thank. All those in favor, aye. Thank you so much. So at this point I do believe that the parties that were here for the outdoor smoking policy are ready to move forward. And that would be I think Ed Shikada, you're on for this one. And from Joe's office we have some representatives as well. And I think there are also representatives that may want to be seated that are here from tricounty. And I'm sorry, you said you were going to -- yes, so if you want to make comments, after the presentation, you're more than welcome to do so. Thank you.

>> Ed Shikada: Thank you chair, members of the committee, Ed Shikada, assistant City Manager. I'll turn it over to Ashwini Kantak, from our city manager's office, who will reporting for staff, also joined by Mike Hannon from Planning building and code enforcement.

>> Good afternoon, chair Pyle and members of the committee. So I'll provide a really brief update on this. In 2010 we had memos from Councilmembers Kalra, Liccardo, Campos and Vice Mayor Chirco indicating some interest

in having staff pursue an outdoor smoking policy in certain areas such as restaurants, multifamily housing and also service lines like those for ATMs and movie theater ticket lines. In December 2010 the rules committee directed staff to include this item as part of the February prioritization exercise and to also report back to this committee in spring of this year. So this item was included as part of the 46 items that were looked at by mayor, council and senior staff in a prioritization exercise. It did not -- it was not identified as part of the top 10 priorities. And the mayor's budget message and council direction to staff in March was to basically focus on the top 10 priorities, but to bring back any other item, back to council for prioritization in August. As I said before, we have been after a report for this committee and want to give you an update so far with county staff and also to point out that even though this was not included in the top ten there are some unique opportunities for partnerships as well as funding. And that's something I'll highlight now. So in terms of potential resources, there is -- the county has received a \$6.9 million grant, it is a recovery act grant through the federal stimulus funding. And we have already actually been awarded \$129,000 from that grant for the tobacco retail licensing policy that came to council last year. We have not yet received the money so just want to point out that there are some lag times in terms of getting the award and getting the money. But there is a possibility of getting an additional \$196,000 for that policy development. So cannot use it for the other efforts but a separate policy on outdoor smoking. The county, we've met with the county staff several times and so they have indicated that they could contribute besides the money they could contribute up to 100 hours of staff time that can help us in terms of research, technical assistance and outreach. And that they would also have a supporting secondhand smoke campaign that would kind of supplement the policy education effort. So in terms of potential partnerships we've also talked about we think this is important kind of a unique opportunity to look at how we can advance policies and issues that are important without completely being dependent on city resources. So some partnership and compliance models that we can look at. So few things that have been pointed out in the staff report. One is partnership with county Public Health as well as the environmental health department, especially in the area of restaurants. When environmental Public Health Public Health goes out to restaurants anyway and they've talked about potentially incorporating kind of education as well as compliance on outdoor smoking in their existing program. We've also talked with the California apartments association tricity division, they're very interested in being a strategic partner, mostly on education and outreach to their members on multifamily housing. And then less looking at nonprofits such as breathe California. The county's already partnering with them through this grant on kind of their

efforts in compliance. We look at this, we really don't have enough resources in our code enforcement as Mike I'm sure will be happy to tell you more about, so really looking at even if this policy were to be adopted looking at nonregulatory traditional compliance -- you know looking at alternatives to that. So something like -- somebody like breathe California could help us with the intake of complaints as well as education. A little bit different approach than we take. To wrap up I want to point out a scum of things. This grant does have a date of completion by March 31st, 2012. And even though there are all these funding and partnership opportunities, we still do have staff resource constraints. The staff that is working -- the same staff that will be working on other priorities obviously would be working on this, and so our next step is to come forward, bring this forward for council consideration in August, kind of in the context of other priorities. Okay, with that we are ready for questions.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thank you, Ashwini. Forgive me, Councilmember Kalra. I should have recognized you were here you're welcome to come up and join us. Do you have a question?

>> Councilmember Constant: So what's unclear from the staff report is, how many nonalternative sourced staff hours are going to be required? In other words, it's very clear, the resource we have available to us, but what's not clear at all to me is what it would still take in staff time. Because we have to evaluate the workload and those things that have been identified as priorities. And for me to be able to do that, I really need to be able to judge what the opportunity costs are.

>> And the staff will be mainly from PBCE and the city attorney's office required for policy development. We had put together an estimate and I'll let Mike kind of add on. I know they had put together staff hours that I think translated to about \$60,000 for the policy development and then the enforcement, we really weren't looking at having a heavy amount of staff time.

>> Mike Hannon: Yes, thank you for the question, Councilmember Constant. We did a time task analysis on this early on when this issue first came to the forefront and we estimated that there was going to be a significant amount of staff hours that were going to need to help implement this program. Primarily in the area of

outreach. Because it's not something that the city currently enforces and so we knew there were going to be significant hours that we would need to invest. Additionally we looked at significant attorney hours that were needed to develop any ordinance that the city was going forward with. As you know there are quite a few ordinances that the City Attorney is currently working on at this time, that would be a concern to their office. We anticipated quite a number of hours to be used by the city not enough hours as defined here in the staff report.

>> Councilmember Constant: I think that's what I really need to see before this comes to council. Because you mentioned the long stack of ordinances that we've already approved that aren't being written and some of those ordinances I keep harping on the sign code ordinance, because I'm losing a major tenant in my district because although we've approved, at a policy level the type of sign that they want, they can't put it in until the ordinance is written. So a major employer, an educational institution that's going to take several vacant floors in a building, is now seeking space elsewhere. So my concern is, the impact that those attorney hours are going to have on the ordinances that we've already deemed enough priority to get to the council, have all the staff work done. So to be clear what I'm asking for is by the time this gets to council, I'd like a clear chart that shows total staff hours intended and how much is being paid for, by alternative sources, and how much is not. So that we can make the evaluation of where this sits, if we're going to try and reprioritize. Because my understanding, in reading this, is that we've already said it's not a priority, given the workload that we have and now we're being asked to reprioritize it. I need that information to be able to make a decision.

>> Councilmember Pyle: If I may, I would like to say, too, that part of the thinking, and please jump in at any time, Councilmember Kalra, is that a lot of this is going to be gentle persuasion. We're not going out there at all times able to catch anybody who is smoking in an area that -- where they're not supposed to smoke. By that same token, it is impossible, even with the ordinance in place and all the rest, to be able to do that on a 24-hour basis. But gentle persuasion, that's what we have now. We don't have enforcement for many of our non-smoking areas. We just have reminders in the way of signs. And that kind of thing. So did you wish to say something councilmember?

>> Councilmember Kalra: Well, just wanted to points, thank you chair Pyle. In regards to the enforcement issue I think some good points are raised and I think this has come forth by staff working closely with county by terms of the fact that the county already has relationships with the restaurants and has outreach based on a number of different health code areas they have to enforce. This would be one more. So that's not something that you know it's as simple as once it's in place the restaurants themselves they self-enforce, no smoking indoors and at first it was a challenge when it first came into play it very quickly became the norm. San Francisco which has many more restaurants than we do put in place an outdoor smoking ban and they didn't add code enforcement officers for it. Again it's a little easier for them because they're county and city and they can coordinate a little more easily. That's where we get a lot of the interest of the funding of a couple hundred thousand dollars additional funding so in terms of that enforcement again Josh Howard from the tricounty apartment association has showed great interest in helping with the outreach. The resident managers again enforce a number of the ordinances, and in his observation, he can point to the city ordinance, cut it out, making a little more sense for them to be able to do that, as opposed to an apartment policy that not to smoke in the pool area or pathways, that are common areas. I agree with you chair Pyle that some of the areas of enforcement will work themselves out just by having the ordinance in place. Just like the parks ban we don't have park rangers roaming around but once that ban is in place it will say you are not allowed to smoke here, not having the rangers there to arrest somebody, is one thing but just the ban has a value. In terms of staff resource resources we are, the issue, I've expressed my concern with narrowing to a top ten list anyway in the way it was done but even besides that the fact that we are leveraging a lot of funds and a lot of resources, 100 hours of staff work in addition to the over couple hundred thousand dollars, and breathe California. All of them are with us. I agree with Councilmember Constant, the partnerships we are making can only be helpful in our final edition.

>> Councilmember Pyle: And I notice there is something here from tricounty association. If you wish to speak could you fill out a little cart with Susan over here. If you wish to fill it out after you've spoken that's fine with me as well. Do you wish to speak for tricounty? You're all right. And how about the other gentleman, cool, all right. So with that, the basic intent then, and would you like to --

>> Councilmember Rocha: Did you have more?

>> Councilmember Pyle: No, I just wanted to say the basic intent is to move this forward. We do not want to lose out on the opportunity of the grant. The ordinance is the thing that would probably take the most time.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Thank you. A lot of my questions have been touched on by Councilmember Constant and yourself. I did have a question about the grant. Are there any matching requirements, funding requirements in terms of dollars have to be spent on outreach and not staff cost? Do you know the parameters of it?

>> To the best of my knowledge, the grant is what the county has received and we can jump in. To my understanding there is no restriction on staffing cost and types of activities but we could not use it on kind of outreach and education. So it had to be something where there would be an ordinance, there would be something mandatory in terms of policy. So we've talked to the county about that in terms of whether there are other options where we could use the money to advance more education in this area rather than doing policy development. And that wasn't I guess okay under the grant.

>> Councilmember Rocha: And no match was required?

>> No match was required.

>> Councilmember Rocha: So in look at the 100 hours of staff time from the Public Health department that's a nice offer. I'm wondering if we can flip it and if we could use the dollars to buy more staff time from them. If we are struggling with staff maybe some direction from us to them and then have them do a majority of the workload and partner with some of the stakeholders that we listed here.

>> Right.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Is that a concept we consider?

>> Yes, and we have actually talked to the county about what they could do so a lot of the outreach actually they could take that on and that's what the 100 hours of staff time is. The reason we do needs certain staff time on our side no matter how many partners we have is the validation and research on our side.

>> Councilmember Rocha: I saw that.

>> I think I had an initial about 275 to 300 staff hours on our side and we'll go back and kind of look at that and you know refine it but that is what seemed like would be needed on our side no matter how much help we got on others.

>> Councilmember Rocha: I appreciate Councilmember Kalra's input, that's very helpful, as far as the rest of the industry whether it's related to this or other issues. Councilmember Constant mentioned additional information when this was brought to the council but could we maybe list some of those that he referred to? It would help me as I consider how we go forward on this. The enforcement capacity if you could also maybe enhance that a little bit to the same point along the same vein I'd like to see a little bit more so when the full council debates this I think it would be helpful if we all get a sense of what we will be expecting either of ourselves or the industries. So there were one more, one two three and I had one last question and that one was answered. So I'm good. Thank you very much. Thank you for the report.

>> Mike Hannon: Councilmember if I might comment just briefly on the enforcement side. It's one of the tricky issues that we have to work with on a regular basis. Developing a law that you know is not going to be enforced, but from the community's perspective, when you create a law there is an expectation and an anticipation, when you create a law, there is an expectation that the community should respond to it. We need to be cautious, when we create the enforcement side, because there will be expectation of enforcement in the future or down the road certainly.

>> Councilmember Rocha: That would be helpful. Anything you could speak to in the report that addresses that or at least lays that out so the council has a clear understanding of what is actually going to be the outcome that would be helpful.

>> Mike Hannon: Just to give you a quick example, what I dealt with today, an illegal fence. The city restricts the height of fences in front yards. There are thousands and thousands of fences that are not built to code but we periodically, we developed a policy a number of years ago that said we have limited shores so we are going to focus on fences that create an immediate hazard from the household or to the neighborhood. We still get calls from the neighborhood, the expectation is it's on the books and you need to take action against it. We need to explain what is the rule behind the law, it takes some persuasion on our part to let them know that it's not something that we're going to be dealing with.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Sorry there was one last comment. Looking at the stakeholders that have stepped up and offered to help or be a partner, I strongly encourage our staff to take them up on that. It's not often that we get that and my appreciation goes out to them for being willing to help us work this out. Thank you.

>> Councilmember Constant: Just a final comment if I may. My concern is bandwidth and I just want to be really clear that it's one thing to get money, money helps. We have a money problem. But we also have a significant bandwidth problem. And I know that when the no smoking inside businesses law came into effect, I was the administrative officer in the vice department that had to write the police department's protocol and response for how the police department was going to deal with that. And that was a major labor-intensive process. My concern is when we have issues like that if we have a drop dead date of X whatever it is you said and to meet that, the sign code doesn't get done and we're missing economic opportunities or one of the other 30 or whatever ordinances that are in the queue get backlogged. I'm concerned about the bandwidth restrictions we're putting on our staff right now.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Any other comments? I need a motion to approve.

>> Councilmember Constant: Is the motion to take the direction and move it to council for discussion and consideration?

>> Ed Shikada: For clarification, our recommendation essentially comes back to this as a status report, next step for council would be in August in the context of other priorities. So that the issues that were the topic of discussion by the committee could be then factored in for what the staff brings forward in saying, among them whether it be 42 ordinances or what have you, how does this stack up and how do we move this forward.

>> Councilmember Constant: Okay, that will be my motion. My motion will be to accept the report and to have this advanced for council consideration at the next priority-setting session in August along with the additional information that we requested.

>> Councilmember Pyle: All those in favor? Aye, motion is approved. And thank you very much for the presentation. We appreciate that. Moving on now, to Dawn Perry and what's been happening in her world? You've been busy. Seems I see you everywhere. Partners in reading. That's going to be heard last. If you notice at the end it says to be heard last. The annual update on early care and education program. Once again Mary Naku is here and Dawn Perry.

>> Good afternoon, we're here with the annual update on the early care and education program and as has already been stated, Dawn Perry is here with the co-manager of the unit that develops the program and delivers the services to the community.

>> Thank you very much for the opportunity to report once again on the library's early care and education services program. The five year San José early care and education strategic work plan entitled investment in the future was adopted by the city council in June of 2009. So this work plan is what provides the guidance for the work of the early care and education services unit. The work plan includes four goals and this report will be an overview of the past year's program accomplishments in meeting those four goals. So the first goal is to increase the number of quality early care and education spaces to meet the diverse needs of San José families. Smart

start San José and the smart start childcare program are the two devices the unit uses to reach this goal. Including this year over 7,000 childcare spaces have been added since the beginning of smart start in 2000. The library and Redevelopment Agency have partnered for the past ten years to improve -- or to provide funding to childcare operators for facility construction and renovation in order to increase access to quality childcare. The final projects were completed this past year, and the sites were opened. Which also expended the last of those funds, as well. So the last two projects that were just completed were new childcare center at the San José conservation corps charter school, serving 82 children, and the renovation of classrooms at St. Elizabeth state home which will impact 194 children. The organizations that receive these funds commit to operating an early education program that meets smart start program quality standards. These quality standards ensure that the children attending these programs receive a quality early education experience. The library that administers oversight for the compliance standards for the contract term. This year, the City of San José received a \$300,000 grant from the U.S. Department of Health and human services to support a culture of continuous quality improvement and smart start San José early education facilities by providing these sites with tools and resources needed to be able to assess and improve the quality of programs serving young children. During the first phase of this grant a project advisory team consisting of local experts and childcare operators was formed to develop guidelines to provide greater clarity to existing San José program quality standard areas. The quality standard guidelines will also assist the smart start San José early education sites in aligning their programs with a new state requirements under the proposed California early learning quality improvement system. We came up actually with this document right here that all the sites will be using in order to kind of see where they are and to improve their quality. The Santa Clara County early learning master plan which is the kindergarten readiness strategy for SJ 2020 has cited this project as an early win in assessing and improving early education in the county. Over the past ten years, the smart start family childcare training program which is funded by CDBG assists low to moderate income residents to open or retain home-based state licensed childcare businesses. This program not only increases the number and quality of childcare spaces available for our City's children, but also, increases the number of microenterprises run mostly by minority women. The participants complete over 80 hours of academic courses and workshops to build business skills and increase their knowledge of child development along with personal growth and leadership skills. For this program we partner with mission college, U.C. Davis extension, West Ed program for infant toddler caregivers, and others. On May 7th of this year, 84 women

graduated from the training program. 33 of these women opened brand-new businesses and 51 made improvements to their existing businesses. These childcare businesses represent over 750 childcare spaces. Our second goal is to increase the supply of trained early educators by providing and facilitating professional development. To support professional development the ECE services unit partners with the county office of education to coordinate the annual smart start conference. The last conference brought together over 500 childcare providers, childcare staff, administrators and parents for workshops on many childcare related topics. We actually had our first symposium on April 2nd which provided these home based childcare businesses with information and resources to support the retention of their businesses during the economic downturn. This event was held on April 2nd and we had over 120 participate. In 2008, the library was also awarded a three-year, \$277,000 grant, from the U.S. Department of Education, to provide scholarships to staff working at smart start sites. 12 of 14 participants will receive their AA degree by the end of this project in August of this year. The third goal is to increase the public awareness and advocacy on the importance of quality early childhood experiences. The annual San José children's fair is one way we strive to meet this goal. In April the children's fair celebrated its 23rd year with over 40 booths hosted by local nonprofits, businesses, community organizations and government agencies that provide services to families with young children. Each booth provides information and resources to parents and caregivers on child health, early education, quality childcare and recreation activities along with a free hands on activity for the children. Over 5,000 attended the fair this year. Also the library was nominated by eBay volunteers to receive a \$5,000 grant from the eBay foundation to provide parent and child workshops to support early language and literacy experiences. 222 parents and children attended six workshops under that grant. The fourth goal is to integrate the City of San José's early care and education strategic work plan with other city, local, state and national initiatives to ensure that a quality early care and education system exists. To ensure that our work plan activities align with other initiatives the early care and education services staff holds leadership positions in several local and state organizations and regularly participates with the national league of cities institute for youth education and families. Staff also participates on local initiatives such as San José 2020, the Santa Clara County early learning master plan where we co-chair the quality committee, the Franklin McKinley children's initiative where we participate on the education committee and the yes we can read, the East San José reading mentor program. Early care and education staff was invited by the national league of cities institute for youth education and families as the only city representatives to present at the children defense

fund event in Tennessee on data driven early education programming. Also the national league of cities has selected San José as one of five case study cities, joining Hartford, Connecticut, Boston, San Antonio, Texas and Seattle, due to the work being done on improving early education opportunities for young children and supporting their transition to elementary school. We were very excited to participate in a convening of these five cities in Washington, D.C, and the final case study document should be available at the end of this month. So as far as some of the next steps for the early care and education services unit, as we plan on continuing to create smart start as a brand name for quality childcare which would be -- which is recognized both by parents and educators, to increase the number of smart start sites through a voluntary affiliation process, to seek out incentives that would benefit these smart start sites such as professional development opportunities, group discounts and other things like that to continue the family childcare program, to establish even more home based childcare businesses, to sponsor participation -- to increase sponsor participation for events such as smart start conference and children's fair, and also to continue to seek out additional funding sources to support our services, and continue involvement in the local, state and national initiatives which benefit the young children of San José. And that's the ends of my report. If there's any questions I can answer for you.

>> Councilmember Pyle: I told you all that Dawn has been very busy. I'm very proud of what you've done. I would like to say that I had the opportunity to be with the 87 graduates, and that was really a highlight. If any of you ever get a chance to go to that, it's really just a very heartwarming experience. And when I was working on my master's degree, the one common thread between success in children, or not, having that success, was the preschool and smart start was one of the absolute best programs that there was available for a guide, for people who are working in that field. So thank you, dawn for all your hard work. Do we have questions?

>> Councilmember Rocha: I don't have any questions but I just wanted to reinforce what Nancy just said or Councilmember Pyle just mentioned. I could go through this report and talk about each one of them and say how appreciative of the work you do and impressed I am and just as a whole the value the work you're doing on these things to me is huge, huge, and I'm so grateful for the work you're doing. Thank you for everything.

>> Thank you so much.

>> Councilmember Constant: I'll just say as a father of five, ditto.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thank you. I'm sure I can get a motion to approve which would be founded on, in case we're looking -- to accept the report?

>> Councilmember Rocha: I'll move acceptance of the report.

>> Councilmember Constant: Second.

>> Councilmember Pyle: All those in favor, thank you again. With us today is Betsy, to tell us what's happening in Sacramento.

>> Betsy Shotwell: Thank you, Madam Chair. Members of the committee, Betsy Shotwell, Director of Intergovernmental Relations. I'm here as part of my spring review with the committees on legislation related to the particular city service areas. Of course in this case neighborhood services. The bill lists this year as you know as I've mentioned all year, somewhat modified or less lengthy, in large part of course because of the status of the state budget. The deadline for the bills to get out of house of origin was last Friday. Almost all of these bills did get out and we will of course come back in August I believe or September with an update on where these bills are, if they are still alive or not and status. And if I could I would just like to give a brief update of the state budget as of noon just because the success or failure of so many bills not just the bills listed here is dependent on the status of the budget. As I reported to the Rules committee yesterday, the governor had indicated on Tuesday that he was making way with Republican leadership on some of the conditions that would prove acceptable to the Republican leadership to supporting a ballot measure in the fall, to extend various taxes and fees. And the piece of it that is still up in the air and being negotiated is what the governor calls the bridge tax. He would like to see the taxes extended 100, 110 days to September, and then a ballot measure to either accept or deny these extensions by the voters. What I learned last night was, that the Democrats in both houses have submitted a revised budget plan which now, from what I've been learning, would restore about \$1 billion in spending. And this spending would

be particularly for \$744 million to K-12 schools and community colleges and \$220 million for childcare spending. So in addition, the proposal would ask for a one-year extension of these taxes. So this is now all in play, because there is a concern that there are those who want to put a ballot measure on in September, to -- whether or not to extend or not these measures. And then the concern is, if there was a one-year period, then even if the voters voted down these measures in September you'd still be accepting these or taking these, collecting these taxes for a year. So it's getting very complicated, as it is every week when I give updates. I learned from Roxann Miller our state lobbyist that the state senate will be meeting tomorrow morning at 10:00. What happens remains to be seen and the assembly is calling at the speaker's request their session will be on Monday next. And of course we're getting to the June 15th constitutional deadline for the passage of a budget by the state legislature and with the passage of proposition 25 if they don't the controller is saying because of prop 25 you will not receive pay or your per diem and it won't be given back to you later when it is passed. So all of that in play, and just adding a little bit of seasoning is the draft reapportionment maps, the first sort of look is out Friday. The final maps will be voted on in middle of August. But this is also in play with legislators, concerning whether or not they're going to be disrupted and moved to other regions of the state, specifically. So lots going on. And I hope I haven't confused you and I'm just certainly happy to answer any questions.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thank you. I have to think about a good question for that. Questions?

>> Councilmember Constant: No, that's enough. I don't need to know more.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Well frankly I'm happy about the bill that is going to force legislators to get their act together. Because waiting around, and sometimes we haven't had -- we haven't had budgets approved until August, September.

>> Betsy Shotwell: October.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Put a tremendous hardship on everyone.

>> Betsy Shotwell: And of course the education community.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Absolutely.

>> Betsy Shotwell: And that is hope, a lot of the concern is just that the layoff notices that are given now and the plans that need to be made now for next fall.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Exactly.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Thank you for your work on the report. Very informative.

>> Betsy Shotwell: I'll be back in a few months.

>> Councilmember Pyle: We'll have better formulated questions when you come back.

>> Betsy Shotwell: I'll certainly know more.

>> Councilmember Pyle: It does appear that these will pretty much pass depending on how the budget falls out. The content --

>> Betsy Shotwell: Well some of them have some controversy evolved, I listened to the hearings last week so like I said we'll know more in a month. And we may be bringing some bills to the Rules Committee as well depending on how things play auto.

>> Councilmember Pyle: I can see where the Williamson act might be problematic, quite a few others. With that we appreciate your report and any notice, we're dying to hear.

>> Betsy Shotwell: Thank you all for your support too. I know you have been working hard to lobby our delegation, I appreciate it. We appreciate it.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thanks. May I have a motion to approve?

>> Councilmember Constant: So moved.

>> Councilmember Pyle: And all those in favor of this report please signify by saying aye. It's approved. Last but not least, we have Ardis Guzman who is going to tell us about what's happening in the schools city collaborative. Welcome.

>> Norberto Duen,,s: Madam Chair, wanted to know housing staff are you ready to do the presentation on -- Madam Chair, if we could hear the homeless encampment presentation. They wanted it last but I think they are ready.

>> Councilmember Pyle: You are ready? (inaudible).

>> Councilmember Pyle: Okay. Okay.

>> Norberto Duen,,s: Well, we can still wait. We'll hold off, okay, why don't we do that.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Ernest.

>> Norberto Duen,,s: If we could for the interest of time, Madam Chair, members of the commission, on the neighborhoods commission update, and Ernest is coming to the table to answer any questions, I do want to highlight a couple of items for you on this report. Obviously this is a status report and we're available to answer questions. The first item is the social host ordinance. In this report, as you know, the director of voices United, Gabrielle Ontolovich, has done a presentation to the neighborhoods commission through actually the youth

commission, has been actively involved in this. And we just had the discussion on the smoking ordinance. And I know that this item has gone to the Rules Committee. My anticipation in terms of when the council may look at this is along the lines of the smoking ordinance which in that priority session in August, I would anticipate that the social host ordinance will come back for discussion, so that can you go through a prioritization process. And I know that there's been already a lot of work done on this. But you know, Mark, I don't know if you know from the city attorney's office perspective, where the development of the ordinance is. But we can certainly give you some more details, Madam Chair on that.

>> I'm afraid I don't but we can get the information to the (inaudible).

>> Councilmember Pyle: I do have to make the plug because I initiated this. First of all, most of the work has been done. The outreach has been completed, predominantly through the youth advisory commission. And the -- we did get funds and staff through voices United in reference to any outreach and information and anything else we needed. So we've done a lot of the work. Also we've had at least seven cities who have the same thing that we do. We are copying basically what the county has in place. And then as a final thought, every year, every single year since I've been in office we lose at least one high school person a year. So from my perspective this truly is a matter of life and death. It has serious consequences. From my perspective we are simply waiting for the attorneys. I know you're overloaded there. I've talked to Rick about it on several occasions and he gave me confidence that he was ready to move ahead with this. So wouldn't some of that be predicated upon his opinion?

>> Norberto Duen,,s: Yes and that's what we'll do. We'll make sure that we check with the attorney's office with Rick and find out what the latest time line is for that.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thank you.

>> Norberto Duen,,s: Because yes there has been a process involved and you know through some of the existing infrastructure if you will, we've been -- there's been some outreach and some discussion. So we'll check in with Rick and find out where it is.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thank you, I appreciate that tremendously. And now Ernest are you ready?

>> Madam Chair, committee members, just to piggyback on that discussion. There was a -- another discussion last night at the commission level about social host. The decision by the group was that they -- the commissioners were interested in reconnecting with the executive director of voices United. What -- they'd had individual meetings with her. But there was a desire to have an expansion of having each of the commissioners go back into their neighborhood groups to begin some type of education program to assist the education value of this concept throughout the city. So it's not a formal action but it's really, each of the commissioners taking the responsibility to get the word out to the each of the council districts so that's where the commission is going to be following up on in lieu of any formal action on behalf of the council. So that occurred last night. We're going to be following up with the executive director next week some time and starting the connecting process then.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thank you, Ernest, really appreciate that.

>> Well, I think the discussion was of value and some folks were very passionate last night about the -- particularly around this time of year as there's a variety of different graduation parties and other things that are occurring, that it was important that at least the individual neighborhood groups represented by the commission members, have the opportunity to get more information. And there was also discussion about eventually, you know certainly there was back-and-forth about current laws that exist as well as what other municipalities are doing. So that was also a further discussion from the presentation a couple of months ago. And I'd just like to -- I'm happy to answer questions. I would just like to make -- take a couple of moments to give you some updates on some of these things. There was a gathering sponsored by the neighborhoods commission last Thursday, in which the police chief was really invited to go ahead and meet with the commissioners. They were members of his advisory group that were invited and also a variety of neighborhood leaders. The intent of this was that there be some kind of communication to build bridges as the new police chief goes forwards in very challenging budget times, to go ahead and start the process of communication, which is really key to what the commission does. We had, out of 100 people that were invited, we had 61 attend, which actually in a two-week time frame was very

phenomenal. And the chief held a 45-minute round-robin question-and-answer session and then committed and did this within the time frame of individually talking to each of the neighborhood leaders. And so the feedback that we got as late as yesterday was that the neighborhood leaders thought this was a terrific event sponsored by the commission and this is the way that they see the neighborhood groups really connecting in the future with the police. So just to bring you an update on what you've read there. On the redistricting process there was a third discussion, aside from the formal presentation, this one was really interesting last night. Because this was really -- the commissioners had wanted to have an anecdotal discussion among themselves having attended a variety of the redistricting meetings. And it was interesting because of some of the recommendations going forwards, and moving populations from boundary to boundary. The interesting thing that emerged in some of the discussions anecdotally was, the amount of misinformation that's out in the public right now. And one of the most telling comments was from District 6 commissioners who said that they had heard as part of the public hearings there was a concern from several of the constituents out there that this was a terrible thing to be happening because they were going to be -- they'd been attending church so many years in a location, that with the boundary changes, they were no longer going to be able to go to that church anymore. And this is the kind of thing that I think really is a hallmark of what communication is about for the commission. Because we had representatives from District 6, District 1, some of the heavily impacted districts and talking among each of the commissioners has helped to dispel some of the confusion. This is not a tangible work product aside from one of the work plan pieces is communication, not just among the commission and neighborhood leaders with the council but also among themselves. Last night was a hallmark of how this really works as the representatives from the various council districts sought to once again build bridges in a very confusing process. And timely I'd like to comment on the budget which has been a priority for the commission since January and before. One of the things they've been working on, on a constant basis, and most of the commissioners have attended most of the individual council district budget sessions. They gathered all the information and provided a letter that was sent to all of your council offices and the mayor, about a week and a half ago, before the mayor presented his recommendations. They were very pleased to find out from our liaison Councilmember Constant that that letter was actually used as part of the discussion items the mayor had with -- in drafting the final recommendations. The good part of that is the commissioners took great due diligence to make sure they gathered not only information in the community meetings but also met with individual committee members, to put stowing the good sense as you grapple with a

very difficult situation. These are a bit of the situations that were put together in the last couple of weeks. Happy to answer any questions.

>> Councilmember Constant: Since we have an opportunity to speak so regularly, my question is, is there a reason why we have to have a staff report every other month to this committee? I'm so conscious about the workload, that we have a commission that we keep a pretty close eye on. I don't know what my colleagues think about this, but I think at most quarterly, because I know it takes time to draft these things. If you guys agree maybe we can make that part of our motion when we accept the motion. I'd like to minimize staff work unless we absolutely have to have it done.

>> Norberto Duenas: From staff's perspective thank you very much. We are just developing the work plan for NSE committee. We'll move the reports to quarterly.

>> Councilmember Constant: If there's something that comes up Ernest can call me and I can bring it up at the committee meeting. And I think that would be more appropriate.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Ernest thank you for being such an exemplary volunteer.

>> Paid volunteer. That's the benefit I bring to the staff of the City Manager's office is my long experience as a volunteer because I can really empathize with what is going on out there as a former neighborhood leader myself.

>> Councilmember Rocha: I do have a question on the second item, police chief presentation and discussion. I understand the meeting included neighborhood commission members but also neighborhood leaders; is that what I heard?

>> Yes, that's correct, each of the neighborhood commissioners was tasked with bringing at least one community leader to the meeting. And we also invited the chiefs advisory board so that they could meet some of the

neighborhood leaders that they might not have access to otherwise. So it was an excellent turnout, a mixture of commission members, community leaders who were just community leaders, and then also some of the advisory board members for the chief's new advisory board.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Okay, in your engagement with the council offices is that just with the liaison or did you let other council office know that something like this was happening? Because it might be valuable to listen, not participate but to hear what some of the neighborhood leaders have to say.

>> And we felt that that was the role of -- that the council liaison could communicate back to the other councilmembers. So in the future, though, certainly you know, we'll send the invite out. Our concern is also that we want to be sensitive to the fact that if all the councilmembers show up we don't want to put you in an awkward situation of all of a sudden hitting a quorum. We are a little sensitive to that.

>> Councilmember Rocha: That makes sense. I'm sorry to interrupt. That makes sense.

>> That was the reasoning behind.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Okay, thank you.

>> Councilmember Constant: So I'll make a motion to accept the report, with the direction on less frequent staff work and reports.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Second.

>> Councilmember Pyle: All in favor? That is approved.

>> Can I ask a question of Ernest?

>> Councilmember Pyle: Absolutely.

>> Is there any question about, as the summer comes, block parties, when we do those gatherings, breaking bread, is there that encouragement to leaders to include the officers?

>> Actually the chief and his staff did encourage those kinds of things recognizing that there's been an increase in crime recently and that you're going to be hitting a summer when the city is still transitioning a lot of their programs to lesser staffing. So that was -- he encouraged the community members to certainly contact the captains involved with each of the regions, to see how they could accommodate that. So yes, that conversation did occur.

>> Good, thank you.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Okay, we have approved that and we are ready now, should we do a drum roll? Finally you get to come up to hear about the homeless encampments and we have with us Tom McCrossty, and I don't know the other presenters so Tom it's up to you to introduce.

>> Thank you. We are here today to give you a report on an issue of serious concern to our community. And the recent progress that we have been made in trying to address the homeless encampments throughout our city. Kelly hemphill from our homeless services staff, will be making the presentation. She's got the computer up and running. Technical difficulties. Hang on a sec.

>> Good afternoon. Today, I will be discussing the impacts of homeless encampments on our community. And how we are responding to the problem. And our goals for the future. First let's start with facts. So in January we conducted our 2011 Santa Clara County homeless census and survey. And we found -- we counted 4,141 homeless people in San José and determined that 74% were unsheltered and what that means is that they were living in the streets and encampments and vehicles. Places not meant for human habitation. And of the 3057 unsheltered homeless residents 197 of them were counted in illegal encampments. I do want to mention that in

2009 we counted 644 people in illegal encampments and we do believe that that is a more realistic number, and actually, it's probably still an undercount. So I do want to make mention of that. We strongly believe that this is a huge undercount. So you may wonder, what's a homeless encampment? And I just want to quickly describe a homeless encampment. It is not one person setting up shelter. It is a group of people setting up shelter, sometimes called tent city, it's groups living under freeway overpasses, in parks, along waterways, on sidewalks, I do want to mention that. This is a map of illegal encampment sites throughout the City of San José. The red dots show rough markers because the camps are always moving. And I do want to say thank you to ESD for providing this map. Ella Smonsky created this map for her own purposes, and I stole it from her. It's interesting to see where the hot-spots are. So the impacts of homeless encampments, the problems associated with homeless encampments have fiscal, human health, environmental impacts. Homeless encampments may also be a threat to businesses. Business owners often explain to our department that they lose customers and money from loitering, homeless people, or encampments in general. We also hear about the fear of using public spaces, because of the homeless encampments that are there. So the fiscal impact. As you can see it costs a lot more money to keep a homeless person outdoors than to permanently house them. The frequent contact with police, medical staff social service providers for unsheltered homeless is really costly to taxpayers. Barriers to seeking routine medical care, leave many to the emergency room for nonemergency care. Encampment residents also tend to have high rates of arrest for low level offenses which costs a lot of money. Low level offenses meaning public intoxication, petty theft, urination. Obviously we want to end homelessness, instead of keeping people outdoors so that's our overall goal and we'll talk a little bit about that. But I do want to talk about the health and human impact of homelessness and homeless encampments. Conditions in homeless encampments have a huge impact on residents' health, garbage and lack of adequate storage or cleanliness of food and dishes spreads diseases, poor hygiene can create dental and skin problems. Most people who live in homeless encampments lack health insurance but they frequently have chronic physical and mental health conditions that require ongoing medical attention. There's also a great deal of violence against the homeless. I know there's a bill moving forward to recognize violence against homeless people as a hate crime. You see a lot of violence in encampments. The average life expectation is for a chronically homeless person is 47 whereas throughout the United States, the average is 78 for a housed individuals. They suffer illnesses at rates three to six times higher than those who are housed and last year we counted 51 people who had died in Santa Clara County on the streets. I do want to

make note that in the -- their most recent census we found that almost 68% of the chronic homeless population in Santa Clara County resides in the City of San José. It's about 1700 out of 2500. The environmental impacts. So homeless encampments maybe hazardous to the environment and the water supply of nearby communities as well. Examples include potential fire danger, battery corrosion, one of the most prominent of the hazards is inadequate disposal of human waste. Also noteworthy is the abuse, the camping -- the abusive camping practices which include cutting down trees or leaving garbage onsite. So here we have a picture of an encampment in San José. And the environmental services department provided me with information that they, in conjunction with the valley Water District, removed 131 tons of trash from the waterways of San José. And 104 tons, so 79% came from encampments. So this is a combination of volunteer -- all of this was collected in a combination of volunteer creek cleanups, hot spot cleanups, monthly, weekly cleanups, so it's hard to say the top sources of trash, because it depends on the site. But we can see a significant amount comes from encampments. Here is another picture of an encampment in San José. Obviously horrendous. An example of the human waste that we see along waterways. Disheartening. So as far as what we've been doing, to address homelessness in the encampments is the housing department has in place -- we actually have been working since the 1990s in facilitating outreach efforts to the homeless living in illegal encampments in conjunction with ESD and the police department and the valley Water District for -- since 1990s as I mentioned. But it's never been consistent. Outreach staff would accompany the San José police department when they posted 72-hour notices of cleanups. But the lack of consistency in resources didn't sustain the program. There was a lack of funding, transportation, housing. Cleanups still occur without the companion outreach. The housing department employed a development specialist who was dedicated to homeless outreach and the police department employed two homeless liaison officers. And the coordination between these city employees allowed for really consistent messaging to the community and appropriate placement in housing. But of course, we don't have them anymore due to budget elimination. Another noteworthy thing is, historically, social service agencies have worked in silos to outreach to homeless individuals so again, just want to emphasize the lack of consistency. So what we're proposing, our response is that we need a coordinated effort to outreach to homeless people, so that we may be able to offer a consistent response to the community about what we're doing to end the problem. Under destination home's leadership our county is now committed to housing 1,000 of the most vulnerable chronically homeless people in July 2013. I'll talk a little bit about that later. Many of the participants will come from encampments. So one big

program that we're very, very proud of is the downtown homeless outreach and engagement program. And this program was initiated by the housing department in March of 2011, not only in response to complaints from businesses and residents, but also, to provide targeted outreach in one area to end the homeless problem in downtown San José. To date I do want to boast about it because it is very, very successful and it's only been in operation for a few months. Ten chronically homeless persons have been permanently housed through the program and of those ten people the average number of years that they spent on the street was nine years. One person spent 29 years on the street. Yeah. Nine out of ten of them suffer from mental illnesses. A variety of mental illnesses. It's hard to say even which -- how-which ones were more prominent than others. Staff has provided supportive services to over 73 chronically homeless individuals, 12 chronically homeless individuals have received an I.D. and 17 have utilized the program shelter beds for temporary safety which is great. So this program is really successful, it's working, we've used the program in response to complaints received throughout the city in other areas and we're really lucky to have flexibility with our partners. And we will be coming to council after the summer break with a funding proposal to expand the program throughout San José. It's really important to us that we do that. We know it's working, we have a great model in place, so. The next program that I briefly mentioned before is the housing 1,000 campaign. The housing 1,000 campaign is our local chapter of a national campaign called the 100,000 homes campaign. And the goal of the 100,000 homes campaign is to house 100,000 of our most vulnerable homeless people throughout the nation by 2013. July. So there are over 80 communities across the nation who have signed on to do this and a lot of them are taking on 100 people, 300 people, we're being very ambitious, we're going to house a thousand people. And we know we can do it. And especially with destination home's leadership and envision. But we do need 500 volunteers for registry week at the end of this month, June 27th to the 29th, to survey the county's chronically homeless population. We're going to use a 33-question health vulnerability index to do that and I know you all are very aware of this.

>> Councilmember Constant: Is that the day you're going to make us wake up at 4:00 a.m. or something like that?

>> That is the day indeed.

>> Councilmember Constant: I can't believe you guys --

>> So three days in a row?

>> It will be great. The results of the registry will be announced at the project homeless connect that they're actually having on site at City Hall on July 1st. It will have an emphasis on veterans services. So this two year campaign will begin providing services to participants in July. July 1. And we, the Department of Housing, we're working with destination home to identify funding sources for housing and supportive service staff in order to be as successful as possible. All right. And this program, I really am very proud of as well. The clean creeks, healthy communities program. This is a \$943,000 project which will restore the habitat along a targeted section of Coyote creek and provide employment, housing to 15 encampment residents. The goal is to transition homeless residents to housing and stability, reduce the trash, eliminate the chronic dump sites and lower the reports of dumping. As you can see, the partnership is really innovative, and unique. It's a new strategy to ending homelessness and I think it's amazing that so many different kinds of bodies are at the table, working together, to do this. And we would love, love, love to see more programs like this to encourage and initiate such innovative and inventive partnerships like this. Especially between city departments. Okay. And I want to show you a map of the targeted section of Coyote creek which is -- it was selected by the environmental protection agency because of how filthy it was, the section. And do I want to mention that it's in district 7 with a little bit of district 3. So that program, so our goals are also our challenges. The housing 1,000 campaign will offer a coordinated strategy to end chronic homelessness in San José. But we still need to identify funding for affordable housing and case management resources in order to be successful. So that's a huge strategy. It's a goal for us, a huge goal. And expanding the outreach and engagement program throughout the city will provide a consistent response to community complaints about encampment residents. But there is still a need not only to identify funding, but to consistently respond to cleaning the trash from encampments not located along the waterways. Right now we have a pretty good system, pretty good partnership with ESD and the valley Water District to respond to the people and the trash along the waterways, but not really outside the waterways. We find ourselves having to ask who owns the property? Is this along the waterway? Is this complaint about the behavior of the residents or is it

about the trash? So we need to formulate some sort of consistent message that everybody is able to say, and speak on, instead of a lag in service. That concludes my presentation.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thank you very much. Do we have questions?

>> Councilmember Constant: Just to know if you guys are going to be there at 4:00 in the morning with me on the 27th.

>> 4:30, you get to sleep in an extra half an hour.

>> Councilmember Constant: You get to be at 7Eleven along the way for coffee and all those issues. Very thorough job, thank.

>> Councilmember Rocha: I will be there for one day. Then I heard about three days, and got a little nervous.

>> You signed up for three you only need to show up for one.

>> Councilmember Rocha: It's a great report an fantastic work you're doing. Thank you very much.

>> Councilmember Pyle: I love people with lofty goals. This is a great one. Before we take the motion are there people here to speak on this issue? Sir, we need to have you fill out a yellow card but you can do it after you speak.

>> Councilmember Constant: Come on Eric.

>> Thank you for the great report. I was this morning going to pass through in East San José and all (inaudible) with the City's not acting so (inaudible) (inaudible) (inaudible) even myself that it was (inaudible) and one of those houses we saw homeless people leaving behind on the (inaudible) the houses that the bank owned those houses,

most for a year and a half or two years. So take a look at your report is nice. But you have to include this. That's my opinion. Thank you.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thank you, Aaron, we appreciate that, thanks to being alert to what's happening in your neighborhood. So we do have a motion.

>> I would, Madam Chair, just to respond to the comment. If you see homeless people in these houses, code enforcement is who you need to call. They have been putting pressure on the banks to get them back in shape and to take care of this.

>> Michael Hannon was there and he got a report left from the people leaving there this week. So no, he's working on that and he was on that, this door. Thank you.

>> Councilmember Pyle: We need a motion.

>> Councilmember Constant: I'll make the motion but I just have a quick comment on lowenthal's motion, I'm really concerned that we look into the classification of protected class may affect our encampment cleanups because while it's intended for one narrow sliver the courts see protected class in a much broader range and civil rights violations then ensue which puts the city potentially at significant risk for not only actual damages but punitive damages, attorney's fees and all that. So I just ask as we go forward I hope we critically look at that. I know the city attorney's office is going to be looking at it. I just want to make sure that we think of the other implications that comes with a classification like that.

>> Yes, I believe that that was on today's Rules Committee and was going to be deferred again.

>> Councilmember Constant: We bumped that specifically because of that.

>> Because the attorneys have a concern.

>> Councilmember Constant: So I'll make a motion to accept the report.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Second.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Great and all those in favor, aye, we are completed, nothing on our agenda unless there are other items on the agenda. Norberto.

>> Norberto Duenas: I'm sorry, Madam Chair, members of the committee, Item D-7 is the Schools city collaborative, bimonthly update. It is there for your review, and I'm here to answer any questions but not to do a presentation.

>> Councilmember Pyle: I have a strong hunch that being superintendent is a tough job, based on all of the changes that are coming about, but I notice that there are only two have not been replaced. Are people ready to step up?

>> Norberto Duenas: We do outreach with the superintendent as soon as someone new comes on board we always take the time and effort to lead that, introduce them to the collaborative and keep stakeholders so we keep those relationships.

>> Councilmember Pyle: That's wonderful. Sorry to forget about you. Yes, so we need to approve that report, as well. So may I have a motion to approve?

>> Move approval.

>> Second.

>> Councilmember Pyle: All those in favor, aye. Thank you very much for a wonderful meeting and we look forward to seeing you next month.