

The following transcript is provided for your convenience, but does not represent the official record of this meeting. The transcript is provided by the firm that provides closed captioning services to the City. Because this service is created in real-time as the meeting progresses, it may contain errors and gaps, but is nevertheless very helpful in determining the gist of what occurred during this meeting.

>> Mayor Reed: I'd like to call the meeting to order. This is Rules and Open Government Committee meeting for August 15th, 2012. Any changes to the agenda order? We'll consider the August 21 council meeting. Anything on page 1? Page 2 or 3? Page 4 or 5? Page 6 or 7? Page 8 or 9? Page 10 or 11? I have some requests for additions, a commendation to D-8 resident for Councilmember Herrera and Councilmember Campos travel to Fresno for league of cities leadership institute.

>> Dennis Hawkins: Mr. Mayor, I want to point out that today the committee will be acting on some appointments for the youth commission and those will be ceremonial items on the 21st, so there will be an additional item on the 21st to be added.

>> Mayor Reed: Anything in else on the 21st to consider? I've got a request to speak, Mr. Wall.

>> Good afternoon, Your Honors. On page 5, we have item 5.1, the consultant agreement with Tetris design for services related to the southeast branch library project. I don't know how the city can entertain having any more library branches with the projected deficits that are looming. So I would think that the council should really reassess this whole project. I know libraries, everybody wants one. But there's just no money really to staff the ones we have. There's not enough volunteers that Councilmember Oliverio has asked to come forward to serve. So I think this whole project should be just shelved indefinitely until you can stabilize the budget and stabilize and paint the libraries that you do have. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: That concludes the public testimony. Is there a motion?

>> Vice Mayor Nguyen: Motion to approve with the additions.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: All in favor, opposed, none opposed, that's approved. August 28th council agenda. Anything on page 1? Page 2 or 3? Page 4 or 5? Page 6 or 7? I notice we have a couple responses to the civil grand

jury. Those are dictated by state law as to how we can respond. I think there are one or two more reports but we are not bumping up against a deadline on those are we?

>> Ed Shikada: I believe we are okay.

>> City Attorney Doyle: There is one more on this meeting and the City Hall one is September 11th.

>> Mayor Reed: Anything else on 6 or 7? Page 8 or 9? Page 10 is the joint financing authority, one item. Anything else? I have no other written requests for additions. One request to speak, I'll take that now, Mr. Wall.

>> Item 3.4, special operations premium pay pilot program for San José firefighters. IAFF local 230. This is way overdue. I think this should be supported and to bring back the firefighters pay to normal status, as well as our police officers' pay. There is a couple of items, 4.2 and 4.3, that deal with -- one deals with tax exempt multifamily housing revenue bonds. I think this should be stricken, and also the predevelopment loan for the Donner lofts apartment project, this should be stricken, as well. We have a glut of this no income, extremely low income, very low income housing. I suggest that the city do away with these pipe of projects until you can sustain the necessary police and code enforcement to deal with these problem properties. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: That concludes the public testimony.

>> Vice Mayor Nguyen: Motion to approve.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: Motion is to approve as noted. On the motion, all in favor, opposed, none opposed, that's approved. Upcoming study session agendas the next one being held is August 30th. We have no items for that correct staff?

>> Ed Shikada: Correct.

>> Mayor Reed: We should move to cancel I guess, that's what we need to do, cancel it.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: Motion to cancel.

>> Vice Mayor Nguyen: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: All in favor, opposed, none opposed, that's approved. Legislative update. Betsy Shotwell.

>> Betsy Shotwell: Thank you, Mayor. Betsy Shotwell, director of intergovernmental relations. Mayor and council, I just have one announcement it is a good one. AB 1692, the bill having to do with municipal bankruptcy, that the council opposed, as well as cities up and down the state. The senate pro tem leader Darryl Steinberg last week announced he was not going to be moving the bill forward in the senate, it had passed the assembly, and we were waiting assignment, committee assignment, and he has ruled that he will not be moving it forward, which is a relief. He cited in many quotes that we had already debated this issue, we had legislation passed last year which was compromised legislation, and this would just reopen the whole issue of municipal bankruptcies and the processes. And I wanted to acknowledge and thank the mayor for your role with the big 10 mayors in assisting in that advocacy against the bill. So it will probably be back again next year, but at least for now it's not moving. And then we do have two and a half weeks more of the legislative session. It will be over on the 31st of August, hopefully.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay. As long as we're on the topic, I was in Sacramento last week, and a lot of people think that the legislature is going to do something around pension reform. Probably equally as many people think that nothing is going to happen on pension reform which is typically the case at this time of the legislative session. Something is going to happen, nobody knows exactly what. But I think the governor is still trying to move his 12 point plan but we'll see what comes out. 28th is the last day of the session?

>> Betsy Shotwell: 31st, midnight.

>> Mayor Reed: 31st, at midnight. And are they still allowed to stop the clock?

>> Betsy Shotwell: Good memory, yes, that has happened where literally the clock has been stopped. But those were the good old days. I hope that doesn't happen.

>> Mayor Reed: Any other questions for Betsy?

>> Councilmember Oliverio: I just wanted to clarify. On the original bill, our entire legislative assembly, everyone representing San José voted for it, right?

>> Betsy Shotwell: On the municipal bankruptcy bill? Gosh, let me check, I believe that's correct, but I don't have the vote in front of me.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: I think it is, as well. I just wanted to ping it off of you.

>> Betsy Shotwell: I'll get back to you.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: No need to. I think I already know the answer.

>> Betsy Shotwell: That may not have been the case. That's why, there might have been one exception.

>> Mayor Reed: There were some people that didn't vote the first time it was considered.

>> Betsy Shotwell: Exactly. Didn't vote for it but abstained.

>> Mayor Reed: They brought it back and voted again.

>> Betsy Shotwell: I'll check on it.

>> Mayor Reed: Anything on federal?

>> Betsy Shotwell: No, they're in recess.

>> Mayor Reed: Meeting schedules, nothing to consider. Public record. Some requests to speak on the public record. Mr. Wall.

>> Item G is entitled should all residential units be equipped with a sewage meter? It speaks for itself and this issue will come together with the reformulation of the sewer service and use charge becomes of issue. Item H are single family residential going to pay the lion's share of damages from a sewage spill? This also is very pertinent and germane of how the sewer service and use charge is structured with reference to any damages that may occur from a sewage spill. And item I, is important for you to read, Mr. Mayor. Because this shows that I have the ability to make at least one persuasive argument. This is entitled a little San José history concerning illegal alien drug dealers. This also includes a formal report from the Santa Clara grand jury circa 1992. It is world while for you to read and to see the uniqueness of how citizens arrest on illegal aliens faked city policy. In other words, changed it. Thank you. .

>> Mayor Reed: Martha O'Connell.

>> Martha O'Connell speaking as chair of the senior commission and hope I now get one extra minute. As you know it is the charge of the senior commission to study issues and make recommendations to council. And I'm also going to ask that someone contact me and let me know where we go from here. Because I don't think two or three minutes at Rules is sufficient with our recommendations. Drawing your attention to letter C, which is the, we did a survey, this took two years, folks. And you have a really great survey, a lot of people worked very hard on

this. We sent surveys into 13 of our community centers asking seniors for their responses to a set of questions which are included in your packet. A total of 367 questionnaires were returned. There was outrage to the communities that did not speak English. We had folks some folks were helping with translation. Budget prevented us from sending the survey out in different languages. In sum and substance our recommendations are on the last two pages of the cover letter. I'm just going to cover a couple of them. Continued dialogue on improving the quality of meals since 81% of the respondents say they ate lunch at the centers, and 76% said this is their one hot meal. We are recommending scholarships or payment sliding scales because 35% of the seniors say they can no longer participate in activities due to cost. Camden has some very specific unsafe traffic conditions and I'm happy to report to you that PRNS has referred that recommendation over to the Department of Transportation to see what they can do. Education, around the availability of referrals, because 36% of the seniors said that they didn't even know if referrals were possible. In other words when they go into the center and they have an issue, 36% of them didn't know that referrals could be made. And the last recommendation is that further outreach be made to the gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender seniors in the city's community centers to address their needs and concerns, because the federal government is now releasing reports on the specific issues that many GLBT seniors are facing. So we'd like some guidance as to where we go now with these recommendations.

>> Mayor Reed: Your time is up that. Richard McCoy.

>> Richard McCoy vice chair of the senior commission. Referencing to a June 14th, 2012 meeting with the senior commission we unanimously voted request that a new position for budget and case management after care outreach specialist be provided. On the March 20th meeting with Linda Jones from the Little Orchard, Ms. Jones' presentation included issues impacting seniors homeless community including the fact that an increase in percent of seniors in the homeless community have along with the first time chronic homeless. Unless seniors are in a specific program such as veterans their housing at little orchard shelter is on an emergency basis and lottery system. There is a huge increase in the number of seniors appearing at these shelters in wheelchairs and those left in the parking lot by family and other institutions. Since the shelter is a conditional permit use required that these housings, those being housed leave in the morning and sit at least a mile and a half away until the evening is a problem. Because a mile and a half for a senior in a walker is a very hard distance to travel. Currently there's

no money for case management for those coming unless it's for emergency housing. Since the shelter can't accommodate neither shopping carts or animal service or service animals, some seniors refused to use the shelter. Last year 63 homeless people died in the City of San José. We'd also like to see provided that home builders is going to apply for a grant to provide plastic pill containers, for the leaders of the shelter to be used for the seniors to be able to carry their medication and not get it mixed up. Transportation is also a huge issue. Outreach charge is \$4 for a one-way trip for beyond the means of a lot of the homeless people. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: That concludes the comments on the public record. Does anyone want to pull anything on the public record?

>> Vice Mayor Nguyen: Motion to note and file.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: I'd like to ask the staff to or give the staff a chance to respond to the survey, of results of the community centers at some point. Ed, I don't know if staff has had a chance to look at this or not, whether or not there's any conclusions or recommendations that might come out of it, so I'd like to refer that to staff.

>> Ed Shikada: Very good. Not in particular detail as of yet, so I'd be happy to work with the departments to take a look at it.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, motion is to note and file the rest. All in favor, opposed, none opposed, that's approved. Boards commitments and committees. One two three four five six.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: Motion to approve all six appointments to the youth commission.

>> Vice Mayor Nguyen: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: Motion is to approve all appointments, and that would go on the agenda when Dennis?

>> Dennis Hawkins: August 21st, Mr. Mayor.

>> Mayor Reed: August 21st. Is that an evening meeting, right? So that we'd do this at the beginning as a ceremonial item, swear them all in like we usually do.

>> Dennis Hawkins: I think we'd probably put the appointments on the consent calendar so the commissioners would have been appointed, and then we'll swear them in as a ceremonial.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, that's a motion, all in favor, opposed, none opposed, that's approved. Next item I think working my way through the list is Councilmember Rocha apples memorandum requesting we agendize an item for council discussion about study sessions. Councilmember Rocha is here. You want to speak to your memo?

>> Councilmember Rocha: Thank you, mayor. I was just here to answer any questions or any concerns. If you'd like me to speak to it, I can. But generally the content here conveys what I was looking to have a discussion about.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, I had a couple of questions. One is, are there any particular topics that you have in minds that we ought to consider now? Because we do have -- we just cancelled the study session for the 30th.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Yeah I noticed that.

>> Mayor Reed: We had it scheduled but we roll the cancellations forward if we don't have any. And as far as I know we don't have any topics that have been requested by anybody to even consider, so that was sort of the initial question.

>> Ed Shikada: Mr. Mayor, if I might also, just one clarification in terms of the calendar. As Councilmember Rocha's memo makes reference to the August 28th meeting for the prioritization of ordinances. Staff is still working on that report, so we are now looking at the 11th of September for that item to come forward to the council.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay. And any other, I don't know if it's a comment or question. It seems to me that the study session, request for a study session is sort of in the same category as request for staff to work on an ordinance or something. Not necessarily an ordinance but obviously a study session might be a broader topic. And that we ought to consider that on whatever date that is, the 11th.

>> Ed Shikada: 11th of September.

>> Mayor Reed: When we take up the items on the ordinance. Because I think if there are a lot of requests for study sessions we'll be in the same place we are with ordinances. Staff has got limited time and they ought to be - - maybe there's a way to build this discussion into the regular discussion that we have on prioritizing staff work for ordinances. Instead of what we do now. But I don't know if you had any particular ideas Councilmember Rocha on how we ought to -- how we ought to deal with it other than having this initial discussion.

>> Councilmember Rocha: No, I contemplated exactly what you just brought up in terms of how does the priority-setting fit into the study sessions. In my mind, they can be folded into the same issue. But generally speaking, they haven't as a result of the current situation we're in, in terms of staff support and staffing. We've kind of really focused on that, and not had the luxury I think of talking about a number of different items because we are trying to be aware of staff's time and resources. But I still felt, given we had this opportunity to have full discussions on other issues, that we should take advantage of it. I mean, we're here to serve the public, and to just exclude the discussion because we're not sure if we're going to have the resources to me is not the way I want to approach this job. So my interest was having a discussion with the full council to get some ideas. Your first question was about, ideas do I have? I have ideas. We all have pet issues that are important to us or pet issues that we have heard from our district. But my point was having a full discussion with all my colleagues, was

to avoid the kind of myopic discussion where I'm just talking about what's important to me. I can roll through items that I jotted down from HCP to public safety burglaries, to taxicabs, to housing and homeless, to medicinal marijuana, status update, parks and rec services and program, or force recruitment intention, successor agency update, cap and trade credits, a discussion we just had in T&E and how we focused or put our attention into seeing how those dollars are spent, a potential funding there for a multitude of different issues. I'm sorry. Betsy Shotwell was just here talking to me this week about it, and I think there was a great opportunity for us as a council to talk about how we should put in our energies in seeing how those dollars are spent. Those are just a few of the examples, but I'm sure there's 11 of us, and my colleagues probably might have some ideas, as well. So I'm concerned, too, about the staffing issue and how we spend our resources, and I don't want us running off in a whole bunch of other different directions. But I just feel that this is an opportunity for us that we haven't utilized and having full discussions. Nothing may come out of it, but honestly, I've learned a lot hearing from staff on some council items, and I don't want to exclude -- I'd like to engage the staff on this discussion because there may be some issues that they'd like to get some feedback from council on. So that's my long diatribe of how I was looking at this item, that's why I was asking for it to be agendized.

>> Mayor Reed: I think we should put it on around the 11th around the time of the priority setting. Because I think they're related, I think they're also related to the committee work. Because some of the things that you mentioned I think have been to one or more of the committees that at one time or another and so there's some of that work that gets done as part of the committee process that wouldn't be additional staff work because we have to deal with the HCP for example, whether we like to deal with that or not we have to deal with that, that's one. But I think we put this on for discussion on September 11th, agendize it alongside the other one is probably the best way to move it forward.

>> Councilmember Rocha: I thought about the committee as well in work plan. There's an advantage because I'm not on all the committees and my colleagues are not on all the committees, and there is an advantage of also just being better versed as a councilmember. When I do go out in the community and talk about issues, especially the public safety ones, I learned a lot from the council discussion, when everybody's sharing what

they're working on. I know it's more incumbent upon me to attend each committee meeting, but I don't know if we all have that kind of ability. So sorry to cut you off.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, so vice mayor.

>> Vice Mayor Nguyen: Thank you. Councilmember Rocha, I just wanted to say that I'm very appreciative that you brought this forward. I think as elected leaders we always have ideas or issues that we care deeply about but I also think we have a really good process in place, issues and ideas can always come to the committee, can be a part of the priority sessions and also, it can also come to the Rules Committee in terms of issues that we care about. I think that has traditionally been sort of the venue that when we want to introduce a idea or a policy or an issue, and so I'm more than happy to have this discussion on the 11th. But I think there are other venues. For example, if you don't sit on the Public Safety, Strategic and Support committee, you can always talk to the chair and say this is something that I am very concerned with, and then we can actually study that issue. Or you can come before the committee and actually talk about that. So, you know, there's just a variety or whole host of different ways that we can introduce policies that we care about and your idea is one of them, too. But not to say that you know that's not the route to go but I just think that you know, the last thing I want to do is just pile more work on staff when we know that you know resources are scarce. And staff is just bombarded with so many different things. If every councilmember comes up with an idea or policy that you know we care about I don't know when staff work is going to be completed. So that's just my thoughts.

>> Councilmember Rocha: I looked at the word study session, not as policy direction, as purely a study session. In my mind that was an opportunity for us all to sit down and talk about an issue where we learn more about it. Whatever came of that, whether again there again was no policy direction or staff work, or it was just an opportunity for staff engage the council to share with them what they're working on, in a perfect world maybe some policy direction comes out of it and there's 11 folks that feel maybe we should shift our energies. While we are spending our energies in particular areas, if we never have a full discussion with all 11 of us about whether we should be moving the ship in a different direction in investing the resources of the city, if we never have a discussion then we're never going to shift the boat and maybe we don't want to shift the direction of the boat. If I

don't have the conversation with reply colleagues I don't know whether they feel that way. There is a process. What I didn't want to do is use these opportunities for a list where there was none scheduled for study sessions and keep submitting the ones that I felt were important, I could have done that and been pretty selfish about it, but my interest in this was hearing from my colleagues. Maybe they don't have an interest in changing the process or shifting the wind, and without asking that question, I never would have known.

>> Mayor Reed: One more thing. I've made reference to September 11th. My guess is that priority setting work on ordinances and related things, this is going to be September 25th. More likely September 25th than September 11th. So we're not sure when that date is, I guess is the point. I said September 11th and that's not a certainty.

>> Ed Shikada: At this point I think staff is feeling fairly confident that we could put it together. To a certain extent it would be helpful for the Rules Committee to give us some feedback on this particular issue on study sessions. As to whether any pre-work would be useful. And we could perhaps tee up any particular issue so we can be prepared to give you a sense of what's happening in some of these areas, or as the Vice Mayor pointed out, even perhaps inventory of the venues that are available through the rules resolution. Whatever might be helpful.

>> Mayor Reed: And then we've been doing priority-setting specifically on the ordinances, the last time I saw a count there were hundreds of other types of referrals to staff as well. So our discussion has been somewhat limited to the highest priority ordinance-related things. But in terms of this particular item I think it would be important for the council to hear -- well, how much work goes into a study session, versus moving things onto the council agenda for a decision. I know it's -- you don't have to do all the work in order to have a study session but there is work that has to be done so that the staff can at least be conversant on whatever topic it may be. So it's not without work, it's just --

>> Councilmember Rocha: My former director's sitting next to me so I know quite well the amount of work goes into preparing for council sessions.

>> Mayor Reed: Just a study session may be less work but some assessment of that I think would be important. Like the ordinance work, that we've done some are easy and some are really hard. So I'm sure that's the same thing with any study session topics and we could probably have a study session on a lot of things with no additional staff work, because you've been working on it for a long time, and it's just a question of getting the council up to speed. And that tends to be the way we've used the study sessions is we've got a decision that needs to be made, it's long and complicated so we have a study session ahead of time so councilmembers can get ready to make a decision. So it's part of a decision making process. Doesn't add too much to it because we're already doing the work. So that would be one thing I think the staff ought to think about. Anything else, Councilmember Oliverio? Did you have something?

>> Councilmember Oliverio: I think there's a -- had quite in my time great dialogue on a variety of topics through just how we've conducted ourselves at the city council meetings. Obviously we have any topic that can be discussed on any given Tuesday. We have the committee meetings, council committee meetings we all receive a packet for the update of what's going on and Rick just to clarify I know the Vice Mayor said but councilmembers could always attend those committee meetings in person if they chose to and if they didn't speak they're not violating the Brown Act.

>> City Attorney Doyle: It's just a question of what kind of participation if any they can have, depends on the number in the room.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: Now, can I as a councilperson submit a memo to a council committee agenda?

>> City Attorney Doyle: If it's a public memo and it goes into the packet, it's for the public and it's for that councilmember's view.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: We have that discretion today. And then a question for staff, we've done study sessions from who knows how long anybody remembers here. Historically those priorities percolate through the mayor and the City Manager based on priorities or challenges the city is facing?

>> Ed Shikada: Yes, as well as the council's priorities, as has been articulated through the budget. So part of the rationale for having study sessions calendared but no specific topic is that depending on how the work proceeds, the timing of the items can vary quite a bit.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: And then Rick on study sessions, if we were having a general posted study session, can action be taken, like a standard motion on an item, to push one thing one way or the other?

>> City Attorney Doyle: Traditionally the study session is to study the item and have kind of a discussion. But it is noticed as a council meeting and if within the agenda action is contemplated and it's agendized as such it's not the traditional study session but you can agendize so action can be taken.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: So we typically don't have action?

>> City Attorney Doyle: Right, that's sort of the whole mindset of a study session.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: I think that relieves the tension that could happen of a study session expecting something to happen because if the intent is to explore a topic further then certainly the council can engage --

>> City Attorney Doyle: But at times it has been noticed as a council meeting with action to be taken.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: And that just happens to happen?

>> Mayor Reed: We usually discuss it here.

>> City Attorney Doyle: The committee sets the agenda.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: So a study session could be based on the ruling of the rules committee set action or not?

>> City Attorney Doyle: Yes.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: I would certainly be interested in having this, as you said, mayor, having been discussed. I would just only be cognizant of the time it takes and simply just ask that everyone do the reading. Because I think so many times we ask staff for a lot of things. And I'm not sure we actually fully digest what they give us. Rather I'll just ask a question that's off the top of my head that's covered on page 16. So I just want to make sure we're being fair and appreciate the input.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Thank you and in the past when you talk about traditionally speaking under a certain administration whether a mayor or past City Manager or current City Manager, each one has their own approach to how they manage these issues or how they lead on policy issues. So with respect to what the assistant City Manager just mentioned, in some cases also some of these are staff driven. They brought them to the attention outside of the body, city council body and have brought an issue forward to council. I mean we've done the five year homeless or five year housing plan before and had a full study session on that going way back. I recall, under I think Mayor Hammer. So we spent some time on other issues not just political issues that city council members may want to bring up but also staff has brought issues to our attention as councilmembers.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, well I guess we're not looking at the agenda for anything in September today. But I recommend that we prepare this to be on the agenda for whenever that date is that we're taking up the priorities, whether it's the 11th or the 25th or the 18th I guess is the only other choice.

>> Ed Shikada: We'll give some thought as to put together some framing information as we would for a study session. That might be helpful.

>> Mayor Reed: The question about the question, okay. Anything else about that, thank you, Councilmember Rocha.

>> Councilmember Rocha: Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: I think that takes us to the open forum. Mr. Wall you want to speak on this one before we're done with it?

>> I think Councilmember Rocha's position is well founded, as far as the change in venue. For example, it's theoretically possible for committees to be stacked by a mayoral appointment. I know that can't happen here. But theoretically, the citizens benefit from these study sessions. Specifically, one of the priorities you should have, Mr. Mayor, is to reformulate the sewer service and use charge. This charge, this fee, the formulation is 37 years old. You sir are theoretically smarter than I am. Real estate lawyer, mayor, great veteran in the United States armed forces, this fact has escaped you or you haven't dealt with it. It's probably going to slow down South Bay water recycling very significantly, and not to mention the discriminatory aspects of how single-family homes are having to pay the lion's share of rebuilding the sewer collection system and the sewage treatment plant, for example. Then there's the issue of the storm sewer service charge, I don't know, I have to make inquiries but there's talk about you have to vote on increasing this rate. And I've heard talk that City of San José just imposes it until they're taken to court. And I don't believe that that could happen. But I have to make inquiries into that. So there's a lot of things that could come good out of this priority setting study session. But the most important thing that's facing the city is the sewer service and use charge by all stretches of the imagination. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: That concludes that item, and I'll move to the open forum. Last item on the agenda. Mr. Wall you want to speak on the open forum?

>> Since I wasn't called upon to add to your work plan here at the Rules and Open Government committee --

>> Mayor Reed: We're not dealing with work plans, that's why I didn't call on you.

>> That's fine here. There are four memorandums here, the first one is what is the status of all raw sewage pumps at WPCP, is WPCP ready for the big one? Item 2 is argument for steam power plant for electrical power at the water pollution control plant. Item number 3, what is the status of WPCP's ability to generate electrical power to prevent a spill? You should pay close attention to this one since one of our engines went down recently. And fourth, electrical power from the City of Santa Clara should be a requirement for water pollution control plant. As you can see included at the bottom of each memorandum is a request that these four issues or these foyer memorandums and the issues contained herein should be placed on the Rules and Open Government committee's work plan. Thank you and good afternoon.

>> Mayor Reed: That concludes the open forum, concludes our agenda, we're adjourned.