

The following transcript is provided for your convenience, but does not represent the official record of this meeting. The transcript is provided by the firm that provides closed captioning services to the City. Because this service is created in real-time as the meeting progresses, it may contain errors and gaps, but is nevertheless very helpful in determining the gist of what occurred during this meeting.

>> Mayor Reed: To order, this is Rules and Open Government Committee meeting for June 17th, 2009. First thing is, are there any changes to the agenda order for today? No, okay. City council agenda for June 23rd. Any changes on page 1? Everybody note the closed session is going to start at 8:00 a.m., regular session will start at 10:00, assuming we get done with the closed session. Anything on page 2 or 3? 4 or 5? 6 or 7? 8 or 9? 10 or 11? 12 or 13? 14 or 15? We've got a note that 3.2, the report of Rules and Open Government Committee, June 3rd, was printed as a drop, but it can stay on the agenda.

>> Lee Price: Yes, Mr. Mayor, Lee Price, City Clerk. We will be ready to go with that report, so if we could go ahead and put it on this agenda, that would be great.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay. Anything else on 14 or 15? We'll come back to the sort of hearing order. We've got some annotations already for when we'll do these. 16 or 17? 18 or 19? 20 or 21? Or 22? I have some requests for additions. Commendation to Romia Aruprim, commendation of Sergeant Loren Nguyen, and Officer Manny Vasquez. Commendation to the Evergreen Business and Professional Association, commendation to the Children's Discovery Museum, proclamation for National Recreation and Parks Month. Do we have any other ceremonial items? I just wanted to go back to the beginning. So that's

5 ceremonial items. And we have excused absence requests for the vice mayor, Councilmember Nguyen, and Councilmember Pyle for a variety of reasons. Renewal of City Auditor's contract, which I've recommended we put on the agenda, just because we need to do it timely. It was -- I think the rollover date was July 1st or June 30th.

>> City Attorney Doyle: Mr. Mayor, there are a lot of items that are being added, and some are subject to sunshine and some aren't. We might just want to get a blanket waiver for all items.

>> Mayor Reed: I think that's right. The agreement with airport legal services with Orrick Herrington, a resolution challenging the constitutionality of any seizure by state government of the city's street maintenance funds from the highway users tax account. Other additions?

>> We have the City Manager's request, be separate to --

>> Mayor Reed: That's another sheet. Oh, yeah, I have it, okay.

>> We'd like to read a correction into the record, the first is fiscal actions related to the redevelopment agency capital projects. On the second item this is a place holder for agreements with MEF and CEO. Under C we will change the language to delete "and funding sources resolution" and we are suggesting here the order which we will take up later.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, so the City Manager requests are fiscal actions related to the redevelopment agency capital projects, and then

agreements with MEF and CEO.

>> And then there is another 3X item related to labor agreement.

>> Mayor Reed: That is AEA?

>> AEA. And then the last is a recovery act grant application for the fire department.

>> Mayor Reed: Do we have tentative agreements with AEA or is this a place holder for AEA, as well?

>> Place holder.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay.

>> City Attorney Doyle: Are there are appropriation ordinances in connection with that too? You have with MEF and CEO.

>> Let's see, on page -- we didn't put anything in there. I would need to find out, and we'll just do it in the amended agenda. We put a generic place holder for an appropriation ordinance under C, so I would need to get better, clearer language and we'll do that through the amended agenda process.

>> Mayor Reed: So first, we approve the agreement, and then we do an appropriations, two separate actions, all in one time, I guess. But both need to be done.

>> Both need to be done before the approval of the budget. So we've suggested the sequencing.

>> Councilmember Constant: Can the fire instructions construction grant go on consent?

>> Absolutely.

>> Mayor Reed: Any other additions?

>> We'll be pulling forward the deferrals from yesterday. There were some happy hollow items, and then we'll also be adding, we'll be putting out a supplemental on the

agenda related to elevator service maintenance. So we will be making some changes to the agenda, but --

>> Lee Price: And we will be adding 15 ordinances for second reading under consent, those were all on the agenda yesterday, and one-week turn around.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay. It will be the longest agenda of the year. Any other additions? Is there a motion then to approve as amended and waiver of the sunshine requirements?

>> Councilmember Constant: Do we want to talk about the order at all before we do that? Because I note some of the City Manager requests are going to go in with 3.5, 9.1 and 9.2, stark?

>> Uh-huh.

>> Mayor Reed: All right, let's talk about the order before.

>> Councilmember Constant: And then I only saw one time-certain I think, and that was the retirement board governance not before 2:00. I don't know if there's anything else we need.

>> Mayor Reed: Well, we have the time period, 10:00 a.m. to 12:00, which we want to get all of the budget items out of the way. So that we don't have to worry about whether or not we have a budget in place when we're taking the later actions. So that is 3.4, various budget actions. 3.5, annual appropriation ordinance. And we have said we would hear those before the consent calendar. With items 9.1 and 9.2. 9.1 is the cooperation agreement between the city and the redevelopment

agency. And 9.2 is redevelopment agency revenue and appropriations actions. Those are the four budget matters, and then we need the agreement -- to consider the agreements, if we have them, before we take up the four budget actions.

>> Right.

>> Mayor Reed: So will those all be heard concurrently?

>> City Attorney Doyle: In the interest of streamlining, yes, you can. And I think that's to be heard with items, not 3.5, 9.1 and 9.2.

>> Mayor Reed: But we don't have numbers on those agreements yet.

>> Lee Price: We'll amend page 1 to reflect the new adds and renumbers related to those.

>> Mayor Reed: So then, the meeting would run 8:00 to 10:00, closed session, hopefully we'll be done. Then we take up the agreements if we have agreements, or not, I guess, that's on the agenda and then the budget actions. All right, then what? Assuming that we get all those done?

>> Councilmember Constant: Straight down the agenda I would guess. Except for ceremonials.

>> City Attorney Doyle: The question you have is whether you'll hear the redevelopment agency first or go right to consent. Page 1 contemplates going to the board meeting first.

>> Mayor Reed: That's probably what we should do.

>> The expectation was 9.4 and 9.2 are part of the joint action so moving to the redevelopment agency thereafter.

>> Councilmember Constant: Do

we want to delete the reference to open forum at 3:30? I guess whenever it is, it is?

>> Mayor Reed: Well, it certainly won't be before 3:30. The reason we do that is so they wouldn't show up at 1:30.

>> Councilmember Constant: Probably no chance, no chance@4:30 either.

>> Mayor Reed: I think it's okay to stay that way. So I have closed session agreements, all the budget stuff, redevelopment agency agenda, then the consent calendar, and we work our way through it, with the retirement matters not before 2:00, open forum not before 3:30. Anything else we that we have to call out specifically?

>> Lee Price: Excuse me, we're having a side bar conversation. Deanna these are actions remitted to this year's budget. We've noted it should be heard in the morning. Does it have to be heard after the budget items?

>> It needs to be after the budget items. I have a handwritten note that 3.4 needs to goe with the other budget items.

>> Lee Price: Okay, so we just eliminate the reference "to be heard in the morning session," and then add it to the items to be heard in the morning concurrently?

>> Yes. Had to register, sorry.

>> Mayor Reed: Well, 3.5 says to be heard first before consent calendar with items 9.1, 9.2. Really, we're going to wrap all those together, take them concurrently.

>> See, the reason why I paused, is because maybe rather than doing "to be heard before consent

calendar," the real trigger is, the budget stuff is being heard in the morning. So list what's being heard in the morning, so people know if they want to be there for the budget, show up at 10:00, rather than they need to get there before the consent calendar. So I would suggest that we keep the note of, "to be heard at the morning session," so that people are well guided as to when they can participate.

>> Mayor Reed: But delete the reference to the consent calendar?

>> Uh-huh, that's right.

>> Mayor Reed: The Healthy Neighborhood Venture Fund, endless discussion, it seems, I don't know if people are going to show up for that again. Same people have been down four times, every time it's on the agenda. So that would -- sometime in the afternoon when we get to it. (inaudible).

>> Mayor Reed: Is that what it is?

>> Yes.

>> Mayor Reed: And is -- so we still need to make this decision even after the budget action yesterday, is that correct?

>> Uh-huh.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay. All right. I think Weaver got it lined up.

>> City Attorney Doyle: You have a financing authority meeting as well on page 26. That should be short, but to note for the record.

>> Mayor Reed: Why don't we do that after the redevelopment agency agenda in the morning.

>> Meeting in the afternoon --

>> Mayor Reed: Yeah.

>> Councilmember Constant: You need a road map.

>> Mayor Reed: Yeah, I'm keeping notes. Lots of people are keeping notes.

>> Lee Price: We'll change the 1:30 reference.

>> Mayor Reed: Yeah. Then it's a notice for 10:00 a.m.

>> 10:00 a.m., yeah.

>> Mayor Reed: All right, any other tweaks?

>> Councilmember Constant: Motion to approve with all those amendments.

>> With all the tweaks. Second.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, motion is to approve. All in favor? [ ayes ]

>> Mayor Reed: Opposed? None opposed? Redevelopment agency agenda for the 23rd. We already know when we're going to hear it. Anything on page 1? Page 2 or 3?

>> Mr. Mayor, item 7.3, the staff report on that was not distributed with the packet last Friday. It was distributed yesterday, Tuesday. Staff request waiver from sunshine.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay. Anything else on page 4 or 5? Page 6 or 7? I have one request for an addition, that's an agreement with David Powers for preparation of analysis, Coleman Avenue-Autumn Street improvement project. Any other additions?

>> Mr. Mayor, there is no other additions, but along with this, if you conclude with adding David Powers, we would of course ask for the waiver of sunshine. This memo will probably be distributed tomorrow.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay.

>> Councilmember Constant: By

the way, our previous motion, I had intended the waiver sunshine wherever it needed. And then I'll make that motion here with the approval --

>> Mayor Reed: Included in this one, to waive the sunshine on both these agendas?

>> Councilmember Pyle: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: That's the motion. All in favor. [ ayes ]

>> Mayor Reed: Opposed, none opposed, that's approved. That concludes our agenda review. No meeting on June 30th, no study session agendas to study. Legislative update. Betsy Shotwell has joined us.

>> Betsy Shotwell: Thank you. Mr. Chair, members of the committee, Betsy Shotwell, director of Intergovernmental Relations. Joining me is Melissa Whatley from the Housing Department to present the two pieces of legislation that they're recommending support for. .

>> Melissa Whatley, the housing policy manager for Department of Housing. The first bill we have today is 1177, and this would create an interagency council on homelessness. At the state it would require that the governor determine which state department this council would be placed under, and it would give the council the authority to coordinate the efforts of 11 different departments within the state on homelessness issues. We really feel that this bill will help us in our local efforts to end chronic homelessness as it will provide a more comprehensive and streamlining approach to

addressing the homelessness issue.

>> Mayor Reed: Any questions? Nancy.

>> Councilmember Pyle: You can usually count on me, mayor. I think this is a good bill. And I was just absolutely blown away that there was no agency on the part of the state that oversaw all of our 11 agencies that do work with us. There's nothing in here in reference to cost. So since you're looking for a department to put this under, is that what would defray a lot of the cost factor?

>> Yes, another provision of the bill is that they would have to create this council and do the coordination all within the existing budgets of the different 11 departments. So they'd have to cost-share.

>> Councilmember Pyle: So everyone is playing hot potato right now?

>> The governor gets to decide.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: The federal interagency council has been very effective I think. So this would help us in California if they had the same level of attention at the executive branch level. Anything else?

>> Councilmember Chirco: Move approval.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: The motion is to approve and put it on the agenda for the 23rd.

>> Betsy Shotwell: Thank you. And one more.

>> And the last bill is AB7 --

>> Mayor Reed: All in favor, opposed, none opposed, that's

approved.

>> Councilmember Constant: You did want the vote, right?

>> AB 767 is a bill that would extend two programs under the proposition 1C. These particular programs, the homeless youth program which is under the multifamily housing program, we do have one project that's been stuck, given the freeze, at the state level. So we really do need this extension in order for this particular project to get those moneys. So that particular program is set to expire July 31st, 2009, with this bill they would be extended -- so sorry, I don't have the -- they would be extended -- extending that program and allowing the current projects to go forward.

>> Betsy Shotwell: 2012.

>> 2012, thank you, Betsy. And the next program, the building equity and growth in neighborhoods, the BEGIN program, is another program that is very successful in San Jose, and again, extending that program will allow us to participate. We've already received \$7.17 million in BEGIN funds, and those are mainly for first time home buyers. So by extending that program, we think we will continue to be successful.

>> Mayor Reed: Questions?

>> Councilmember Pyle: Go ahead, Judy.

>> Councilmember Chirco: Move approval with the one-week turn around.

>> Mayor Reed: Motion is to approve, for the 23rd agenda. There was a second, I think, yes, there was. All in favor,

opposed, none opposed.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Could I ask one quick question?

>> Mayor Reed: Yes, sure.

>> Councilmember Pyle: I just wanted to ask a quick question in reference to the homeless in California. I was also amazed with the figure that we have the highest population of homeless people.

Has there been anything done to determine why we have so many? Is it the weather, is it easier to be homeless here than in Minneapolis?

>> I've heard that theory. But again, because the state hasn't had a focus on homelessness, I don't think we've looked at that issue enough and tried to determine. And so it really is -- we really do hope that a council will be able to look at those factors. Because in San José we can tell you we do surveys and we do counts every two years, so we can tell you what the population looks like and why they say they're homeless. But the State doesn't have an overall many coordinated effort to look at that, so we really hope they will.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Right. And the homeless is composed of kids that are running away -- I mean, there's just a variety of --

>> Right, emancipated youth.

>> Councilmember Pyle: -- people that are involved. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, that motion -- we've already done the motion. That's approved, thank you. Sacramento update.

>> Betsy Shotwell: Thank you. Mayor, if I could, just --

>> Mayor Reed: There's good news.

>> Councilmember Constant: Oh, okay.

>> Mayor Reed: 1B money.

>> Betsy Shotwell: -- piggyback on -- yes, the 1B money is very good news. I told the Department of Transportation, "Spend it, cash it." And I heard it comes electronically, so -- yes, we were very pleased to get that news.

>> Mayor Reed: That was \$6 million.

>> Betsy Shotwell: Correct. Just to piggyback on your comments, mayor, yesterday during the budget session, the budget conference committee has been meeting, the joint budget conference committee, both houses, and you may have read this in the paper, but they voted to reject the governor's proposal to borrow off of prop 1A property tax money. Again, this is all in motion. There's no bill numbers, nothing in writing, there's nothing physical, but it's what you hear when you're at the meetings or listening in as to what they're deciding or recommending. Of course, this -- we've already mentioned the HUDA, the highway user tax, and the league's analysis, their attorney's, that it's unconstitutional to take -- the budget conference committee did recommend taking two years of the HUDA money from local governments. And for the City of San José, it's estimated 15.7 million and then 11.6 million would be in the next year. There are a number of different proposals out there. Of course, the governor has his and

the leg analyst. And the Budget Conference Committee also voted to reject the proposition 42 sales tax borrowing that the governor had recommended, which was good news. Public Safety in the COPS area, they took no action on that, according to our lobbyist, Roxann Miller, yesterday. So at this point we're still working from the February budget that was passed, where the city would be able to receive 1.6 million. Again, that means, there's no action yet taken on this, but we don't know how this will play out, and there was no action taken on corrections. And of course, as you discussed at length yesterday, the budget conference committee did approved to recommend shifting for three years, including the current fiscal year, the redevelopment funding, to the tune of \$13 million each year. So all that's in play. Of course we're working very hard with a coordinated effort, but the league, and the California Redevelopment Association, the large city mayors, public safety, transportation interests on this, there's no one here from budget, but they've been terrific. And all that they've been going through and dealing with, they have always been there and helping us, and giving Roxann the latest information from our end so she can share that with our delegation and legislative leadership in the capitol. So they've been working very hard getting numbers and figures to us in the middle of the night. So it's an ongoing effort. There is a press conference going on now, or maybe it's just finished, with the

Democratic leadership of both houses on the budget. There is some discussion that of course that they're talking now about, in the Assembly, some revenue possibilities, tobacco and oil severance. But whether or not that will pass or get the votes is -- remains unknown. The Republicans have said no, we're not going to support any tax increases. And then perhaps a vote as early as the end of this week, but if they don't have the votes, then of course it will carry on to next week and further discussion and big five meetings, et cetera. So that's where we are.

>> Mayor Reed: To add to that, we have collectively, among the big city mayors, the top ten mayors finally negotiated a letter that 9 out of 10 are willing to sign on, and that's gone to our legislative delegation and leadership and the governor as well. I don't know if there'll be a trip to Sacramento next week of the big city mayors or not, getting more than three of us together at one time is very difficult. So that may yet happen, or not. We'll have to wait and see. But of course, the league of California cities has been very strong, and it helps to have the big city mayors engaged with what the league is trying to do, as well. We always have a different perspective than the league on the size of the city. But in this area, I think we're pretty well United, don't take our money. Anything else from Sacramento?

>> Betsy Shotwell: Stay tuned.

>> Mayor Reed: Anything else on legislative? Okay, thank you. Nothing on the federal

side. Meeting schedules, none to talk about. Public record, anything from the public record the committee would like to pull for discussion? We do have one request to speak to the public record, take that now. Martha O'Connell.

>> Martha O'Connell representing HOME, addressing public record item B. I speak in support of the human rights commission recommendation that the city council request chairs of boards and commissions to place open forum at the beginning of their meeting agenda. In the 1990s, I was a member of the human rights commission, and I'm currently serving on the senior commission, and I can tell you that I treasure every member of the public that walks through the door. I want to hear what they have to say. I don't want to sit in a room isolated. And if that means that we change an agenda so someone can speak because they're taking the light rail, and it's scary to be on down at capitol expressway, for example, at 9:00 at night, that I think we need to do that. I also think that we need to reward people who use public transportation, get them out of their cars, and make the public forum more accessible, instead of punishing folks like me who uses the light rail. And the last thing I wanted to say is, two last things, the arc between November of '05 and March of '09, guess who was the only citizen of San José to speak? Me. Nobody else comes to the meetings, so it's not like they've got 50,000 people lined up to

speak. And my final comment is, I'm really troubled by comments from staff. And I'll be giving you guys a report in writing, when they go on record stating that we don't want people to come in here and just do a rant. That is insulting. It's insulting when a commissioner says, "I don't want people to come here and do a rant and leave." That is demeaning to the public. We don't do rants, most of us. We come in here, we're articulate, we're organized. So I would appreciate if you guys or the city clerk would recommend that the chairs move public forum to the beginning so folks could leave, if they need to leave, so thank you for listening.

>> Mayor Reed: Anything else on the public record? Okay, did you want to speak?

>> Gay Gail, president of CAMP. I take the unprecedented position of coming to Rules today, because I'm -- and thank you for open government, Mr. Mayor, because I'm concerned that I've submitted records, public records requests that have not been fulfilled. On April 27th, when our employees were informed about their potential impact of the budget, 64 employees in CAMP received that information. On the 29th of April, I asked the director of employee relations and the director of human resources for some information so that I could follow up on the reasoning and the actions that were proposed to be taken. Following the managers budget reason May 1st, I submitted three additional requests. To the first request Mr. Gurza responded, further

review to determine if the information is available. After the request on the 5th of May, he responded, more completely, with this quote: "The city does not understand the relevance of this information and ask that CAMP provide us with further information on how this information request is relevant to the budget impact on positions presented by CAMP." I followed up with the -- I did receive some information, and I followed up with the assistant City Clerk on the 11th, the 13th, and the 18th of May about the requests. I have received information, complete information on two requests, partial information on two, and no information on four. I don't think this is appropriate. If I were a member of the Mercury News staff, I would get that information. And when I asked Councilmember Constant, he recommended that if there's a concern, bring it to Rules. This is an appropriate place for it. So I leave this in your hands.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay. You're in the right place for that. We should just agendaize this for next week so that we can have the staff here to talk about it.

>> Is there a meeting next week?

>> Mayor Reed: There will be a Rules Committee meeting next week.

>> There will be a Rules Committee meeting, okay. I was told there wouldn't be a Rules meeting next week.

>> City Attorney Doyle: This is the first I've heard of it, so we'll look into the matter with the City Manager's office and have a response.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, so we'll put it on our agenda under the public records act request.

>> I'll give you copies of the e-mails and the complete information request so that you can look into it.

Thank you very much.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, anything else on the public record?

>> Councilmember Constant: Just move we note and file.

>> Mayor Reed: Motion is to move and file the public record.

>> Councilmember Chirco: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: All in favor. [ ayes ]

>> Mayor Reed: Opposed, none opposed, that's approved. Appointments to boards, commissions and committees. Recommendation from Councilmember Liccard to appoint Kaela Bernal to an unexpired term for the Arts Commission, and Jessica Zenk to a term ending 2012 for the Arts Commission. Silicon Valley Workforce Investment Network Board nomination, a nomination by me to appoint Alan Roberts to serve as the public sector representative on that board, and then a recommendation from Councilmember Liccardo to consider the appointment of Brian Darrow to fill a spot on the 2040 general plan update to replace somebody who has resigned. Those three recommendations. Mr. Wall, you wanted to speak to one of those? Come on down.

>> David Wall: Good afternoon. I'm here in opposition to the appointment of the South Bay

Labor person. They have had this opportunity once before, that is unprecedented in relation to your -- or to the City's ordinance about substitutions. It's foreseeable, people quit. These are grown adults. They were made aware of the conditions to be on this task force. They accepted it. They've had already one accommodation that was unprecedented. Now they afford another accommodation. This is out of control. This is not what your or the City's resolution was put forth. Furthermore, the South Bay Labor Council is all over this city, so they are very well represented, on all sorts of other task forces. Especially at the highest levels, considering Councilmember Campos, her husband is the CEO of the Building Trades Association. And that's part of that South Bay Labor group. So I would say stick to your resolution, or amend the legislation to say nobody else can be substituted in or out, except for the South Bay labor portion. That would be more in line to what's been going on. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: Anyone else wants to speak on that? Let me just speak to 9.3. Mr. Darrow, the reason we created the policy about replacing people on that commission was first, we knew it was going to take a very long time. And in previous general plan updates, we had some troubles with people dropping off, being replaced, and then having to restart educating them in the process. So I note here that Mr. Darrow has been engaged in this from the beginning. He has

attended 19 of 22 meetings and has been briefing people in his organization. So I don't see this is a case where we would have to start over from scratch. So I have no problems procedurally with putting a new person in there, because he is already well educated and up to speed on it, and I would feel the same way if somebody else had sat through all the meetings and needed to fill a spot if it was the appropriate person.

>> Councilmember Constant: I agree. I'd make a motion on all three of these items for approval with a one-week turn around.

>> Mayor Reed: Motion is to approve.

>> Councilmember Pyle: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: Both all three commissions and the recommendations and get it on the council agenda for the 23rd. All in favor? [ ayes ]

>> Mayor Reed: Opposed, none opposed, that's approved. We have nothing under item 10, we have nothing at this time on council committee agendas, nothing under open government initiatives, and so that takes us to open forum. Anybody wish to speak on open forum? Mr. Wall?

>> David Wall: This deals with pending concern with the business agreement with zero waste.

>> Mayor Reed: You can squeeze that handle and bring it up a little taller so we get your --

>> David Wall: Okay, this deals with that pending negotiation with the zero waste, anaerobic digester material. There is little information put forth as to how they would

offgas CO2, which would be part of the fermentation process. Even though it's an anaerobic digestion process involving certain microbes.

Now, if you're not subtracting out your green waste from this waste stream, in other words, this waste stream predominantly relies on fats, oils and grease, in other words like a slaughterhouse or meat products in vast volumes. Now, Milpitas discharge, they have a few food processors over there. But in comparison what they do in Europe to what we do here, I know you're doing your best to get new industries here, and I'm not delaying that, but this I think is a very bad deal. To rely on free giveaways of infrastructure, in addition it's predicated on trying to secure a federal loan from the stimulus. I think this needs to be more reviewed, as far as the waste stream, how they're going to deal with offgassing of CO2, exactly how much energy they're going to create, versus the energy they're going to use in this process. More study needs to be done. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: Anybody else wish to speak under open forum? Nope. That completes our meeting --

>> Councilmember Pyle: I just wanted to thank Mr. Wall for --

>> Mayor Reed: Councilmember Pyle.

>> Councilmember Pyle: -- his post card from the Monterey aquarium. It's very uplifting. Thank you very much.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, thank you. We're adjourned.