

The following transcript is provided for your convenience, but does not represent the official record of this meeting. The transcript is provided by the firm that provides closed captioning services to the City. Because this service is created in real-time as the meeting progresses, it may contain errors and gaps, but is nevertheless very helpful in determining the gist of what occurred during this meeting.

>> Mayor Reed: Let's call to order. Rules and Open Government Committee meeting for whatever today is, that would be January 11th. Any changes to our agenda order? Nope. All right. January 17th meeting cancelled. That was easy. January 24th. Any comments on page 1? Page 2 or 3? Page 4 or 5? Page 6 or 7? I have a question on item 7.1, trash reduction plan, basically dealing with polystyrene. Does that need to go to our TPAC group, would either need to go or good idea to go to TPAC, we are talking about justifying actions based on our storm water permit and is that a regional thing? I don't know.

>> Ed Shikada: Certainly couldn't hurt. I'm not sure from a timing standpoint where this was time sensitive to come forward. So surely check on that with our staff.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, we do things on TPAC on different kinds of cycles. I don't want to leave things out since we are talking about our NPDES permit, our plant tributaries and --

>> City Attorney Doyle: We can come back with status on that next Wednesday. If it needs to be kicked it can be kicked.

>> Councilmember Constant: I have a question as well Mayor. On the portion of the potential polystyrene issue, since that would be an ordinance, would that then go forward to our next ordinance priority setting session, so that we have an option to see it in context of all the pending ordinances?

>> City Attorney Doyle: I think at this point, we're talking or staff is talking about adopting a regional approach. We're not talking about any specific ordinance at this point or any city action. Just to look at a regional approach. But I think that's something that it would, if it gets to that level it would be part of prioritiesization.

>> Councilmember Constant: Okay.

>> Mayor Reed: Anything else on 6 or 7? Page 8 or 9? We have only two short items on the public hearing list, land use items in the evening, are we getting stuck with those that have been noticed for the evening, can only be

heard in the evening or is it since it's far enough out can we renote them for the afternoon or are there going to be more things going on the evening agenda?

>> City Attorney Doyle: I don't think there's more going on the evening agenda, we'll check with planning, and if need be we'll check with the applicants and let you know by next week. If the preference of the committee is it be heard in the afternoon, if this is the only two items.

>> Mayor Reed: We could just as well handle it in the afternoon, because if afternoon didn't look too long, that way we don't have to break for dinner and come back. One request to act on matters related to the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency.

>> City Attorney Doyle: Sunshine waiver on both memo and any documents. This language is preliminarily put out. There's likely to be some change to the language. But this is just the action.

>> Mayor Reed: Right, so this is whatever we figure out that we need to do, as soon as we figure out what the State's going to allow us to do et cetera dealing with redevelopment agencies before February 1st. Okay. Any other additions?

>> Councilmember Constant: Ready for a motion?

>> Mayor Reed: I have request to speak on this, I think, before we finish this, Mr. Wall you wanted to speak on one of the items on this one I think.

>> The one you had questions about, 7.1, actions relate id to a short term trash reduction plan. I think you should for ought good faith defer this for a week if not two weeks. They have had a significant high level personnel change at ESD that has been responsible for this municipal regional storm drain permit. There's been so many questions as to the lack of leadership with this specific function at ESD, that caused a lot of consternation at transportation and environment committee because they keep wanting deferrals and deferrals and deferrals. Then

we find out, that the person in charge of requesting all these deferrals then leaves the city. And I think that the office of the auditor's report on the ESD will be probably somewhat damning with this group. Therefore I would suggest you not submit anything to the regional water quality control board on this matter because of these problems with ESD. And also, the storm water permit is different from the plant, even though they are, they do have some similarities, so I would defer this completely, until this gets shaken out. And this is not your fault, Mr. Mayor, this is the fault of lackluster administrative oversight by the City Manager's office. Because they should have been up on this, and they just haven't been. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: That concludes the public testimony. Is there a motion on the agenda?

>> Councilmember Constant: I make a motion that we approve the agenda as amended or providing the option to move those evening items to the afternoon if possible and a sunshine waiver on the add-sheet items.

>> Councilmember Nguyen: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: We have a motion to approve. I had one more question. I think yesterday we deferred no meeting agenda the airport West side stuff so that will be added to the agenda when we do the revision. On the motion, all in favor? Opposed? None opposed, that's approved.

>> City Attorney Doyle: Mr. Mayor I just want to note, on closed session for the 24th, we begin council appointee evaluations, we also have labor and a few litigation items right now. I think we can -- just heads up next Wednesday we'll probably have a better idea and if we need to start earlier we'll let you know.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay but right now we're scheduled for 9:00 a.m. start. Redevelopment Agency, meeting agenda, we just have the item that we just discussed about --

>> Joint, I imagine 9.1 a joint item.

>> Mayor Reed: Joint item. Next item is a study session agenda. January 21st, the annual neighborhood association youth commission priority-setting session, have a very simple agenda in front of us. It's going to run a lot like the previous years exercises. So --

>> Councilmember Constant: Motion to approve.

>> Councilmember Nguyen: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: Motion is to approve. Kip.

>> Just one verbal note. Start time will be 9:00 a.m. As per the agenda the start time will be 9:00, City Manager is unable to attend, so assistant City Manager Ed Shikada will be attending there.

>> Mayor Reed: Anything else?

>> Councilmember Oliverio: Mayor, Kip, I know there has been call for neighborhood associations to attend. Will it be strict this year that it just be one person per --

>> Yeah, we only have capacity for one person per neighborhood association at the actual exercise. We will have capacity for anybody who wishes to attend to come, and we will have some overflow capacity. So anybody who doesn't want to attend can be there. But in terms of the actual participation, we have about 30 volunteer facilitators coming in, and that -- and that, and the fire marshall limit on this room forms the limit for that.

>> Councilmember Oliverio: Will that be equitable per all council districts, versus just two council districts having half the people?

>> We have gotten word out to your office. It should have received the --

>> Councilmember Oliverio: It's not my office I'm worried about.

>> Right. All the offices, and we've encouraged the council offices to help us get that word out and do the recruitment. We haven't placed a cap per district but we'd like to have a very equitable balance if at all possible.

>> Mayor Reed: Some districts have 30 times the number of associations as other districts. We're always going to have an imbalance I think but the key thing is that we're reaching out to all districts and each councilmember has a chance to make sure their groups participation. I'm sure it will be imbalanced but we just recognize that, this is not a random sample of the city. We have a poll that gives us the random sample but it would be good if somebody besides council district 6 came.

>> Councilmember Constant: District 1 had the number one attendance three out of the last four years.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay. Is there a motion to approve? There is. Okay, all in favor? Opposed? None opposed, that's approved. Legislative update. State of affairs in Sacramento we know what those are but we'll listen what Betsy has to say anyway.

>> Betsy Shotwell: Just briefly, mayor. Betsy Shotwell, Director of Intergovernmental Relations. Sacramento is back. The governor released his proposed budget last Thursday, \$92-plus billion budget. As they recognize a current deficit shortfall of \$4 billion, and going into next year \$5 billion, so the governor addresses that with a number of cuts, over \$4 billion to health and human services and childcare, as well as anticipating or assuming that his ballot measure will get on the November ballot and pass. Which would cause a tax increases and other revenue. So it's all in play. Obviously it's very much fluid. The leg analyst's office just posted their analysis of the budget which we're going the through and the city's lobbyist in Sacramento, Roxann Miller, and I will have an info memo for you next week. COPS money, booking fees money is in the budget, however there is no money in the library fund which unfortunately didn't surprise us, but it's the way things are. The good news is, that the governor in this budget, unlike last January, does not propose eliminating the enterprise zone program. However he does anticipate, and has us expecting legislations to, quote, reform the enterprise zone program that will be

forthcoming this year. We are watching that very close as we always do. The other issues of course in play with Redevelopment Agency and maybe Richard has also some additional information. The extension bill is still in draft from the February 1st to April 15th date, so that hasn't gone anywhere that I'm aware of, and I haven't seen anything in print. So that's a side note. I wanted to let you know the latest on that so with that I'd be happy to answer any questions.

>> Mayor Reed: Questions? I had one comment just that I wanted to share, as long as we -- as long as I'm here on the redevelopment and the follow-on legislation and what we need to do. And I want to make sure that City Attorney got a copy of a letter that I received from mayor villaraigosa from L.A. recommending to his council that the city not become the successor agency but because of concerns about liabilities, primarily was the pension fund liability of in excess of \$100 million for the redevelopment employees. But there was a reference in there to other potential liabilities. I just wanted to make sure we got the benefit of whatever they think there might be a problem with.

>> City Attorney Doyle: And we're studying the opinion or their memo and we'll address it with the council.

>> Mayor Reed: But we know we don't have any pension liabilities with regard to the agency employees.

>> City Attorney Doyle: L.A. had 192 employees, would have to be transferred over, and they have certain pension obligations.

>> Mayor Reed: Bigger problem in L.A. than here. Anything else on the state update? Nothing on the federal update?

>> Betsy Shotwell: No, they're in recess. I'll have a report soon get back.

>> Mayor Reed: And I guess we do have the update of the full funding grant agreement that secretary La Hood has transmitted to Congress for the BART project.

>> Betsy Shotwell: Yes, good news.

>> Mayor Reed: And we'll wait and see what happens with that, but that was definitely good news to get that moving. Anything else on legislative update? Okay.

>> Mayor, I have one item, I was just on the phone with Roxann, and you're right, in regard to the extension, it still hasn't reached committee or got final approval. But SB 654 she just sent on to us. That's the Steinberg bill mainly to do with housing part of redevelopment. It passed the senate transportation and housing committee on Tuesday, and that estimate, \$2 billion, and a final vote of 9-0 would allow those low and moderate income funds maintained by the agency to be used for housing rather than going to the state. So that could be significant to keep the affordable housing program moving.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay. That's it on the legislative update. Meeting excess, we have nothing other than the fact that next week there is no meeting on Tuesday. Public record. Mr. Wall you want to speak on the public record?

>> First, there's a few of them. Let's start with item F. This deals with the ecopass as part of City Hall's traffic mitigation obligations. There's been an ongoing issue Mr. Mayor, no one's told me anything why, for example, the airport people, folks can get their ecopass. It's an interesting conundrum here, because you would think at the airport there would be no ecopass, because you would be stacking the cost per enplanement function of that. But yet they get their ecopass but the rest of the city employees don't get an ecopass, unless you work at Team San José, and then if you volunteer you get an ecopass. So somebody needs to clarify this. Because that will keep from me from stop asking all these questions about it. Be also, this issue of number H, the City Attorney deserves -- as a matter of fact, I'd like to pause right now and have the administration, the assistant City Manager for example on behalf of the City Manager thank the office of the City Attorney, publicly for this scandal involving Applegate Johnson. Let's face it, this was \$440,000 the city had this company on the hook for. There's no encumbrances to these funds from the two funds that were to build a fire station 19. That money could have gone directly to the General Fund yet due to screw ups in the office of City Manager with that cursed environmental

innovation center, that boondoggle from hell, you've already lost \$440,000 on that program already. No, the City Attorney needs to be thanked. He saved the city on this issue and he's not being thanked publicly except for me and that's not good enough. And also, Mr. Mayor, you could have -- item number I showed that you could have used that money for firefighters. Somebody at the administration has to lose their job on this one. The office of the City Manager, somebody has to lose their job. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: That concludes the public testimony on the public record.

>> Councilmember Constant: Motion to note and file.

>> Councilmember Nguyen: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: Motion to note and file the public record. All in favor, opposed, none opposed, that's approved. Item G we have work plans. Arts commission, annual report and work plan for 11-12. A couple of speakers on that one, might as well take those up front. Bobby Yont, chair of the arts commission and Mr. Wall.

>> Good afternoon, my name is Bobby Yont. I chair the arts commission for the city and I am here speaking on behalf of the arts commission. The -- over the past year, the arts commission and the office of cultural affairs have worked together, on a monthly basis, to take -- to relieve a good part of the burden of our staff support, we have cut our number of meetings significantly. And we feel we have -- and will continue to be responsive to the City's needs, to the City's fiscal needs and fiscal future of the city. On a positive note, over the past year, the office of cultural affairs has gathered more grant money than at any other time in its history from other sources that are traditionally do not come to municipalities. And we have initiated a number of programs to no cost to the city to continue to bring the kind of arts and cultural activities that this city deserves. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: Mr. Wall.

>> I would like to thank the contributions of the previous speaker and all his efforts on behalf of the city. Thank you very much, sir. And he brings up a relevant portion about the office of cultural affairs, bringing in all this grant money. We should all be pleased. If down right overwhelmed to the point of tears and that gives an issue to start eliminating that contingency fund on all public buildings, especially with reference, if you're going to continue that art tax, start having a checklist on what funds you're going to be using them for instead of misusing fund 475, with reference to fire station number 19. So with all this grant money coming in that's a great thing. But this art tax is becoming burdensome and oppressive Mr. Mayor, and I don't think years ago, when you were part and parcel of creating it, that you -- all the folks didn't think that what a burden it would be in today's moneys. Thank you.

>> Mayor Reed: That concludes the public testimony on the item. Comments or questions from the committee on the work plan?

>> Councilmember Constant: Motion to approve.

>> Councilmember Nguyen: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: Motion is to approve the report and the plan. All in favor, opposed, none opposed, that's approved. Taking us to item H-2, report on the posting of public calendars by city officials on the City's Website. Mr. Wall.

>> I continue to think that some portions of this open government business is frivolous. I think reporting of your calendars the way you have to keep track of it is a complete waste of city resources and times. I would hope and pray that you would focus what time do you have on making good decisions, versus having to waste your timekeeping track where you are every second of the day. These things are in my opinion useless and as a student of government I'd be the first one to raise a hand if they were not useless. But they are. And I would say get rid of them.

>> Councilmember Constant: Motion to approve.

>> Councilmember Nguyen: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: Motion to approve. I'd just like to note it's been my practice after we've had this conversation with the rules committee is to have my office talk to the people who are at the bottom of the list so to speak in performance, and so will continue to do that but overall, the record of the council and our chiefs of staff and letting the public know of our calendars is excellent. Probably better than any other city in the state. So people do look, and they appreciate it, although we're not perfect but we will talk to those who make the bottom of the list. On the motion, all in favor? Opposed? None opposed, that's approved. We have the next item is the memorandum on community budget survey memo by Councilmember Kalra and Campos, and I just want to make sure City Attorney -- because I'm doing my headcount in the room and I've got more than five councilmembers in the room. So it's okay as long as only one additional councilmember speaks during the meeting on this topic.

>> City Attorney Doyle: Yes.

>> Councilmember Kalra: And that's going to be me, I think.

>> City Attorney Doyle: No, you don't get to vote on who speaks.

>> Mayor Reed: Thank you.

>> Councilmember Kalra: I would never speak ever if you had to vote.

>> Mayor Reed: Let me first comment on the budget survey, which is something that we added to the portfolio of things we do around the budget process since I've become mayor and the survey is a way to find out what the entire community thinks, it's a statistically valid random sample, telephone poll that is part of the work that we do. And it's -- we've been doing this since I've been mayor. A couple of years ago, maybe three years ago, I can't remember exactly when, we had some requests from councilmembers, said they'd like to see the survey before it

goes out so they could comment on it so the past several years we have circulated it for comment to councilmembers before it goes out. I understand now after talking to City Attorney that that's not something we can continue to do, because of the changes in the Brown Act. And we need to figure out another way to deal with it. And that's something I think we'll talk -- and that's something that I think we'll talk about as the survey comes forward and as we set up the budget process for the next year, is what to do in the survey world. Because I think it's an important part of our budget process but we'll have to modify the procedures. So with that, Councilmember Kalra you want to speak to your memo?

>> Councilmember Kalra: Yes, and I did update my calendar before coming here to make sure I note that I was here this afternoon. And I thank you mayor for allowing me a moment to speak on the memo that Councilmember Campos and I put forward. And I do think that there's been a lot of value in this survey and I think there's been changes or at least over the years, of some amendments and changes to how the survey has been done including the fact that now we get a good portion of the calls from cell phone users, which I think is really valuable because a large percentage of people just use their cell phone, don't even have home phones, added to the validity and credibility of the surveys. In recent years there's been input in councilmembers that's been valuable in preparing for this survey. I know last year a couple of the suggestions my office put forward were incorporated into this survey. And so you know, Friday, we're informed we would not be able to put input. I know this was not in any way any fault of yours, Mayor, or intent of yours. Obviously the intent of yours was to get input, and that's why earlier in the week you sent out the ask for comments and for questions regarding the survey. So I appreciate you doing that. I think that -- and Rick can you correct me if I'm wrong, I think the issue this year as opposed to past years was that the City Manager's office had been the one that was responsible for putting the survey together so there wasn't a Brown Act issue, we were just consulting with our staff and then this year, and I imagine part of it is due to budget issues, that the mayor's office has taken over the responsibility and that's what's created the Brown Act issue. Certainly looking forward we can figure out how to reconcile. Is that the issue?

>> City Attorney Doyle: Yes, the Brown Act as amended allows communications with staff members, and so any comments were directed to staff. But it wasn't the council taking a final action on what the survey was. Whereas,

with the mayor being a member of the city council the legislative body, that request it either had to be in an open session or not take any comments at all. And it isn't the best from my standpoint the best result but you know we have to deal with the parameters of the law.

>> Councilmember Kalra: Yeah and I mean functionally the same in that the mayor asked requests just like the City Manager's asked for requests for comments but just in form it creates that Brown Act issue. Simply put the memo is just asking if we can have this placed on the agenda so we can have input from the entirety of the council prior to the survey going out. I don't know -- I know that whenever a budget is concerned it's always timeliness and time is always a major factor and needs to be considered. However, I think that this may -- a delay of a couple of weeks will allow for comments from the council from the mayor's office to take into account when putting the questions together in consultation with the City Manager's office and I think that that can lead to the same result we've had in recent years past. And then going forward we can figure out a way to do it in advance in preparation understanding the Brown Act limitations but this is at least one way in the immediate future, right now, that we can deal with the issue that's been created. And so that's really the memo is about to have an opportunity to have input on the survey. And so Mayor, I think that there's issues regarding timeliness, of course, and that is something that I'd like to rehear so that I can figure if this is something that is going to be able to happen or not.

>> Mayor Reed: Well, there are issues with the timeliness, as you know the budget cycle and the schedule we set in advance and there's some pretty tight time lines in there. And so the survey, if we delay it for a couple of weeks, delays for a couple of weeks when we can have the results and be prepared to brief the council and use it in the budget process, so we have the first of the formal budget meetings January 21st with the neighborhood association, and then I don't know what the date is, I've forgotten, for the council study session on budget priorities, February 13th, I think. And so that survey needs to be done right there, in that time frame. And you know it takes a while to do the survey and compile the results so it can be presented to the council and used in the process. I don't support delaying it. We've done it multiple times, the survey looks a lot like the previous surveys, we're not going in a new direction. We've had quite a bit of council discussion about surveys. We did a survey in July. We talked about this survey as it's been presented over the years so I have a pretty good idea of what the questions are. I did conversation with Councilmember Rocha and Councilmember Pyle, along the

way. And my staff did. So we've had a chance to massage this survey quite a few times. So I don't think there's any need to put it over and it would interfere with trying to be ready to move the budget process at the time it needs to be moved.

>> Councilmember Kalra: And I respect that and again, I know that this wasn't the intention of your office, however do I think that there's enough value to having input from the entire council on this. The budget process is going to be playing out over many weeks right now. I know that it doesn't mean that the -- this information certainly is valuable to that process. But I do think, I know that my office, I know Councilmember Campos' office, we're developing questions and comments regarding the survey. When we were informed that we wouldn't be able to provide them because of the Brown Act issues. So I still think that weighing the timeliness of it given the fact that we were just informed or the community just being informed of the meeting on the 21st, if the numbers, if the survey is prepared to be released, I believe this was -- was it this week, I can't remember off the top of my head --

>> Mayor Reed: Supposed to be in the field I believe and massaged the data for a week and get preliminary results top line results the beginning of the week, I'm not sure exactly what the schedule is.

>> Councilmember Kalra: From the 12th to the 16th that was approximately when the survey was supposed to be conducted. They can have some preliminary results ready in five days. Even a delay of a couple of weeks we can get those numbers turned around at least preliminarily pretty quickly, that's of much greater value, numbers are of much greater value, I know that some of the questions that were amended through council comments last year helped to clarify some of the questions, helped to erase some confusion. But in any case, you know I know it is the will of the committee. But our request is here for you to consider.

>> Mayor Reed: Thank you. Any comments or questions from the committee? Vice Mayor.

>> Councilmember Nguyen: Thank you. Yeah, I appreciate the memo. But I'm not going to support it. I think we have a very tight time line to work with. Things are in progress right now and I'd like to keep it that way. Like the

mayor said, you know we have a meeting on the 21st, we have another one on the 13th and then we have to submit the ballot language to the rest of the voters sometime in early March. So I'd like to keep the progress going and so I'm not going to support delaying the community budget survey.

>> Mayor Reed: Councilmember Constant.

>> Councilmember Constant: Ditto.

>> Mayor Reed: Further discussion, is there a motion?

>> Councilmember Oliverio: Don't need a motion.

>> Mayor Reed: If we're taking no action we don't need a motion to take no action. So there's no motion no action. Thank you and we'll talk during the budget process about how we handle it next year. I've got a couple of ideas on how to do it differently.

>> Councilmember Kalra: If I could just, I wanted to thank Tony from the Clerk's office. I know Dennis was out and she was very level in very quickly getting this on the agenda. I appreciate that.

>> Mayor Reed: That's the way the clerk does it. We have next on the agenda, additions to council committee agendas and work plans. Community and Economic Development committee, neighborhood services and education committee, transportation and environment committee, Public Safety, Finance and Strategic Support committee, Rules and Open Government committee, I think we hit them all. There's five of them, did we miss any? I think they're all here. So I have some requests to speak on this. I'm going to take all these at once. Mr. Wall.

>> For brevity sake, CED work plan. There's a series of misrepresentations by the Cirque du Soleil business. The calculations have all been \$22 million last memo I saw, coming into San José from Cirque du Soleil. I don't buy it,

so I want that stopped. This monthly incubator transition business, I would like to see that expedited. I'd like the city out of this, having to deal with the incubators in a very quick manner. With reference to our good friends in the downtown business association, their contract, potential contract with the city could be very helpful, but a very pronounced and analytical business plan should be produced prior to any of that and have it talked about a little bit in public. On the T&E work plan we still see no reference to anything about the ecopass. And the deferred maintenance report on streets needs to be discussed more vigorously and more frequently. On neighborhood services, there needs to be more of a public acknowledgment on how valuable animal control services are to our city. And the way that people can contribute, especially in the corporate world, Mr. Mayor. Where you spend a lot of your good time. To see about those animal lovers in the corporate world that would like to buy a chunk of our saving our little puppies and cats and dogs down there. As far as Public Safety, there's nothing because Pete runs a good show every time he shows up, thanks.

>> Mayor Reed: That completes public comment, I remember getting a memo from the City Clerk about changing on the Rules committee. Or something like that.

>> Dennis Hawkins: Yes, Mr. Mayor, we issued a replacement page today for the schedule of changes of meetings for the Rules and Open Government committee, it's available on the table for the public. And we've already substituted the replacement page online so that there's an accurate schedule. There are just some errors on some dates so we wanted to correct that so before the council -- before the committee took action today.

>> Mayor Reed: Okay, any other changes on the other work plans that we need to note? We can always deal with them later, of course as they come up as they will undoubtedly during the year.

>> Councilmember Constant: I'll make a motion to approve all five specifying the note of the change page.

>> Councilmember Nguyen: Second.

>> Mayor Reed: Motion to approve all five with the amended Rules and Open Government committee page. On the motion, all in favor, opposed, none opposed, that's approved. Open forum. Mr. Wall.

>> This is in reference to what's called the QALICB section of the EIC or the environmental invocation of innovation program. This is one of the very dangerous parts, because there's nobody in the office of the City Manager that even understands the concept of the community development entities and how corrupt this form of participation could lead to the collapse of this entire -- what I call the environmental innovation center, is a Ponzi scheme. Now, the 75-year land deal and with CDE's participation in this, community development entities, you have to run enormous background checks on these people and keep tabs on their business operations to ensure they don't run afoul of your internal revenue service's regulations. Otherwise, Mr. Mayor, people are going to erect monuments to hate you. I'm just trying to stave this off, because this is a terrible project. The other thing you should pay attention to is what happened out at the Water District yesterday. Now these folks, our good friends down there, want to rename our advanced water treatment facility a whole bunch of names. On item either 9.1 or 9.2 or 3. There are six names they've come with, two of them have this cursed philosophy of Silicon Valley, put Silicon Valley in every name possible, associated with San José. Now I know City of San José must be ashamed of themselves because the heck with Silicon Valley! It's San José that's the dominant force here. And I don't know why you kowtow to these people. But I've come up with a couple of names for the plant, for AWT. Likes product liability water treatment facility. Or strict liability and tort water treatment facility. Or overburdensome and objectionably high cost, that nobody wants water treatment facility. Mr. Mayor, good afternoon.

>> Mayor Reed: Thank you. How many days has it been since it rained? 55, 56? Thank you. I think meeting's over. We're adjourned.