

The following transcript is provided for your convenience, but does not represent the official record of this meeting. The transcript is provided by the firm that provides closed captioning services to the City. Because this service is created in real-time as the meeting progresses, it may contain errors and gaps, but is nevertheless very helpful in determining the gist of what occurred during this meeting.

>>> Rules and open government committee meeting for June 22nd, 2011. Any changes to the agenda order? Okay. Let's take up the June 24th special meeting agenda. That's Friday. A memo that I put out requesting some changes to the agenda to defer some items to August and to make some -- make clear what it is we are going to talk about on Friday. I have one item that I wanted to have included. We need to make clear that the council may give direction on the opt-in tier. The council asked a report to come back this is back and the council may want to give direction on what to do with that in terms of the negotiations. The language I had was report on analysis, costs York know how we say that so it's clear that you get the report.

>> by direction that would be -- possible direction. That would cover.

>> the report on possibly providing direction on the opt-in tier work that's been done and then we have an update on negotiations regarding the process to combine the fiscal reform belt measures with the contract terms for retirement form and then need to talk about the scope and timing of polling that we are contemplating, give direction to staff on that. Those are the three things I'm recommending, that we take up the potential declaration of fiscal emergency and approval of ballot language in August, that that's not the purpose of Friday's meeting.

>> Mr. Mayor, we can issue an amended agenda which would show items 31 a and b are deferred to August 2nd or August 9th at your pleasure and then restate the clearer direction on item c I think that would --

>> [inaudible] a little more clear. I think that's simple changes. Other changes to the agenda? We do have the closed session noticed, going into closed session first.

>> we have it noticed as such and will have up to the council if they wanted to go in advance at the end of the meeting.

>> we may do both. I don't know. Really up to the council. Anticipate probably suggestion if we go in first but we will wait and see. Anything else on that 24th agenda? We have a request to add from the city manager construction funding commitment [inaudible] on the 24th. Why are we need to do that on the 24th?

>> we have the [inaudible] to describe the situation.

>> okay.

>> [inaudible] we have provided a land acquisition loan to the developer. The developer has received its bond allocation recently and must close the project by the end of september and as according to what's happening with redevelopment right now, we may have a situation where we are not able to take any further action until some time in the fall and as a result, he would not be able to take this action and the developer would incur penalties, we may lose the bond allocation. So, it's important for us to take this action today to keep that project on track.

>> okay. So we will need a -- sunshine waiver and the council will need to approve the agenda addition?

>> it is a special meeting, it still has 24 hours' notice but with the sunshine waiver, council is going to have to approve it going forward. I would like the flexibility to -- i understand that richard has a proposed redevelopment meeting for the same date?

>> yes. Two items.

>> and my office had raised the question as to whether or not this item needed to be a joint item or just a city item and i don't have an answer to that yet, we would like the flexibility to either put it on as city item or joint redevelopment city item.

>>> it will come to the proposed special meeting redevelopment agency in a minute here. Anything else on the 24th?

>> i'll make a motion to approve with the amendments, with the addition sunshine waiver and the flexibility as needed for these to be on a joint session.

>> all right. We have a motion to approve with the amendments and the waivers, all in favor? Opposed? None opposed. That's approved. Then we turn to the redevelopment agency special meeting on the 24th, which we have yet to notice, right? This is new in front of us. We need a couple items to consider, finish some of the work we have already started on projects in the agency. So richard, you want to talk about for the meeting and what we need to do?

>> thank you, mayor. The board at the last meeting voted to approve as part of their overall operating capital budget, funding for cet in the man over \$50,000. So we wanted to bring that forward before the august break and then we have one other project that meeting the \$250,000 limit for the executive director, managing director to sign but the attorneys felt this would be best, for several reasons to bring this before the board, \$250,000 for building out the first floor of the biocenter, specific graduate in this case, the graduate, take 24,000 square feet of space and burke putting in \$2 million in improvements to match that \$250,000.

>> okay. So we need to get this noticed, set, i would anticipate the run the meeting, take care of the one item of real estate business on the city agenda that -- the redevelopment agency business, go back to the fiscal reform stuff, business stuff taken out -- out of the agenda early. Is there a motion on this agenda?

>> motion to approve.

>> motion is to approve the special meeting agenda for the redevelopment agency. All in favor. Opposed?
None opposed. That's approved. Not ready to talk about the next meeting, which will be august 2nd. We will move on. Legislative update?

>> thank you, mayor, members of the committee, betsy shotwell, sure you saw the headlines yesterday, the controller announced both under proposition 25 and 5, which requires a balanced budget determined that he would not be paying the legislators their next paycheck with that, that has ratcheted up what we consider to be the timeframe now for the legislature revise sitting issue of how to present a balanced budget to the governor what it would look like. It also that held up the trailer bills, including the two which we are very much opposed to regarding the elimination of redevelopment. The governor, we have been told is going to be issuing what i'm calling a june revise. His version of the budget, which everyone is assuming will be a lot of cuts. A lot more cuts than what has been previously mention and referenced some with that we are meeting internally. Staff seems like on an hourly or daily basis, to -- with our lobbyist, roxanne miller in sacramento to engage where things are and act and lobby appropriately for the issues that are still outstanding.

>> okay. Any questions? Pierluigi?

>> to confirm on the legislature being paid, where they are losing the income all together or get paid later?

>> they will not be paid later.

>> oh, good.

>> they are losing, as well as travel and all other reimbursements that were included in the proposition.

>> okay.

>> all right.

>> thank you.

>> thank you. Next item is the public record. Any testimony on the public record? Mr. Wall?

>>.

>> good afternoon, your honors. The first one is in reference to item number a. This is a unique memo, rather tearful in its construct. I also think they should add an accent on san jose if they want any money from the city, they ought to at least spell it right. I think though that they do raise an interesting argument, considering their debt service to their various enterprises but is not mention bud inferred, but also, mr. Mayor there are ways that these hotel folks could be helped out a lot more than they are and i think this whole t.o. T. Tax business has to be revised and put it in favor of the hotel people. I think putting on these parasitic taxes really take away from their bottom end. And i will remind the -- an idea about giving flight crews the ability to have free lodging to where they can write off the room at full rate without having to pay a t.o.t. Portion of the tax, would go to help out the airlines. Because if they could stay at the best hotels for free and the hotel does then write off the entire cost of the room at full rate without having to be beleaguer the city of san jose for that tax increment for those rooms, that might bring in a lot of goodwill from the airlines. Now, this foreign trade zone business, item b, i would watch this as far as the ability of the city of san jose to set fees for this. This is a neat way to raise money with these type of fees for this trade zone business. This is the first time that we've seen the trade zone being activated after all these years of the office of economic development and what have you talking a big game and it's interesting to see that tesla motors is the first one really to use this with that, thank you very much.

>> public testimony, public record committee would like to pull for something? I would like to talk about item b, the letter from mayor of palo alto regarding the tesla motor special purpose, et cetera, i think we have already taken some action on this but i can't remember.

>> we approved last week.

>> to okay. That's what i thought. Okay. So, good work. Keeping tesla here, all good. Anything else from the public record?

>> motion filed, all in favor, opposed, none opposed. That's approved. Boards, commissions, committees and work plans, have a memorandum from council member rocha regarding the t & e work plan, referred to staff where you are going to consider committee work plans here at this committee, july 27th, i think?

>> that's correct, yes. Mr. Mayor, we would be happy to put that into the mix.

>> okay. Have a couple of other things, we have work plan for the city auditor, fy '11-'12. Consider that now, sharon erickson is here.

>> thank you very much. As part of our annual review of potential subtext, we solicit suggestions from city council members, members of the public and staff. We also prepare a spreadsheet model of potential audit subjects to help prioritize audit work. The list that you have in front of you includes a mix of audits and special projects that address a wide range of concerns kim with the city auditor's area of responsibility there are seven assignments current any process so that's the way our work plan generally works is we have the carryover from the previous year. We have five annual projects at this point. Those include the items listed, 8 through 12, on -- in your report. It includes everything from the audit recommendation status report to team san jose, the annual review, annual accomplishments report and the annual scan of city-funded cbos. We do have three categories of annual external financial audits that are performed by mesius, jenny and o'connell and overseen by my office them include the comprehensive annual financial reports and single audit, the annual audit for the voter-approved bond of parcel tax measures and semiannual compliance reviews of the city's investment program. We do have two carryover project these are not started yet have been on hold for a while and includes housing programs, which was in the november 2009 redevelopment

agency development budget message that has been on hold for the last several months due to the uncertainty surrounding potential state action and their impacts on tax increment funding. Also on hold is the audit, har groom oversight. This was expected to be a second audit. We he decided to hold off on that pending adoption of proposed changes to municipal code title 16 and then the second audit would assess efficiency and effectiveness of the city a's other regulatory functions. Our first audit really addressed licensing and permitting of card room owners and employees. Then we have ten new project these we are proposing and i will step through those. The first is the airport level of service performance measures. This is pretty simple, small-scope audit, accuracy in reporting of current level of service indicators for both police and fire services at the airport. The target date on that one would be fall in time for any potential decision regarding airport services. Number 19 is the environmental services department, as directed in the june budget message. Number 20 is fire department disability rates, which is a follow-up of our previous disability retirement worker's comp audit reports. 21 is overhead reimbursement. The city's allocation of overhead to the redevelopment agency, i believe the faa has also question it had with regards to the airport, as been pointed out by other audit -- other jurisdictions and other agencies and audits and we think it deserves a review. 22 is the impact of staffing reductions. We all know there's been a tremendous impact, voluntary separations, layoffs and bumping on the city's cap pass tight manage operations. In particular, the loss of employees with special technical competence may be a concern. 23 is fire prevention. We are concerned about the low -- the reported low compliance with state inspection requirements. An estimated 36% of annual required state inspections were reported as being done. 24 is the review of external audit work. It comes to our attention during our recovery act work that a number of other agencies are auditing city program and we wanted to get a handle on that and any open audit recommendations from those agencies. 25 is consulting agreements. This would be one in a series of audits of large contracts. 26 is deferred compensation. If there is the potential that employees will be relying more strongly on deferred compensation, we want to make sure that that program is in order. And 27 is convention and visitor's bureau. We have had an audit request for a couple of years on this one. It's to review costs and services provided. We do have a number of other responsibilities. I wanted to point out number 31 on the list is our peer review. Every two years, we undergo an odd kim. The auditor undergoes an audit and we are do up for that again this fall to assure that we are operating in compliance with government auditing standards. But

that's probably more than we can accomplish in the year, but we do expect we would get started on these project at least through the year and carry over into the next year there were at least 21 projects considered but not recommended. We just simply couldn't put them all on the list. That list follows. I also wanted to mention that as our work proceeds, we will report monthly to the public safety finance and strategic support committee on the status of all audits. We do -- at the start of any audit, notify you when we begin to determine if you have any interests or concerns that we can address during the audit. And then any subsequent additions to the work plan would come back to the rules committee for approval w that i'm happy to answer any questions, hear your comments, concerns and priorities.

>> thank you. Vice mayor?

>> thank you. I'm not sure who should be able to answer this question but on the card room oversight, so -- the proposed changes to municipal code title 16, are we supposed to hear that at some point, the full council?

>> yes and think it was in the mayor's special message, the june message, reminding us that those need to come forward. And so i anticipate no later than september those changes will be coming forward if we can get it sooner, the better.

>> so once we adopt the changes that's when we give direction to the auditor's office to conduct the second audit?

>> it is on the work plan so we could begin after that point.

>> okay, great, thank you.

>> i share the vice mayor's concern about those, over a year since we did the last audit, haven't made much progress on title 16. If we can't move the title 16 stuff forward, we may need to move the audit. Anyway --

>> i will note that as part of at recommendations they included essentially following state law and the extent that much of that's been done without the ordinance changes but we do need to bring back a lot of cleanup, so it is something that is on our list.

>> okay. Aid couple of questions if you were done, vice mayor?

>> yeah, i am.

>> 1400 city employees file statements of economic interest, will you sample that to decide whether or not it's worth looking at a universe or planning to go through 1400 of those?

>> no we are doing a sample.

>> okay. I figured. I'm always interested in how you manage the work. At the testimony 21, the overhead reimbursement issue. I don't know the importance of that. Certainly the redevelopment piece of it is less important, if the state doesn't allow us to do anything, it's kind of a moot point and what it used to be is probably not very important if we don't move ahead as an agency. We have made so many changes at the airport as well in terms of overhead, i think before you launch that you just need to consider if there's real value there i don't know what the other departments' overhead may come into play, it may be one not as important as we thought. I don't feel compelled to respond to the state controller if there's no agency. Certainly. Other comments, questions? Pete?

>> i feel a little differently on the overhead audit it is actually something i asked for i think a couple of years ago because of the varying rates of overhead and some of the difficulties that we have had trying to predict where overhead is going and how it is calculated. And i know there was a point in time a couple of years ago where i had difficulty even getting an explanation of the overhead. Think it does, because it has such a ripple affect throughout our budget, there's overhead charged in so many different areas, i think it's

important that we do look at that i think that obviously, the impact of staff reductions is going to be a really important one as we go forward. In the projects consider bud not recommended at this time, we hear almost every budget year since i've been here about encumbrances and liquidating incumbrances and things of that nature. So, i'm wondering if, given that we are with going to have an even more difficult budget season next year and we know we are going to have a couple more bad budget seasons that that might not be one that we might want to give a little more priority to see if there is actually any merit in those claims or not. Because we are going to be scraping for virtually every dollar we are come up with.

>> other comments? Luigi?

>> when you asked on priorities, i certainly say anything that would enable us to save money. So -- and i think the entire work plan should be generated in that extent. Like, when i look at some of the things, like the santa clara county city association, we reed through is that multiple time, really hasn't been a problem. For me, i would view it as a much less priority than other items so i think any and all those. And i guess the question on staffing reductions, what are we trying to find here? Is this in less of technically -- people of high technical capability?

>> yeah. The piece of what we have been talking about is we used to have very low turnover rates in the city. And so when we would go into audit, for example, and wouldn't find written policies and procedures works figure, well, but we have got staff who has been in place for 15 years. That's changing and i wanted to get a sense of how those republicans? Changed by department and what is the turnover by department and i believe we can get through those numbers through people soft and what is the impact of that? I think all of us have heard of very experienced people who are leaving, either because of -- they have decided to retire out or getting hired out elsewhere and i'm concerned along with i think a variety of people about that loss of technical competence. And the idea of this review would simply be to get a handle on the scope of that problem and the numbers behind it using our people soft system to try to get at how long the tenure of employees who were leaving.

>> so, as we are progression and the budget was, you know, no end in sight of having shortages and we look to have more outsourcing and we are with outsourcing more items, are you considering that type of thing to be nontechnical competence versus someone of a higher value-add skillset knows department of transportation policies and critical information technology infrastructure?

>> let me -- we will keep that in mind, but we were focus on the employee base itself. So, what are the -- who are the employees that we have left after all of this, rather than the outsourced piece of that but i think you raise a really good issue, that if we are outsourcing the technical competence within the department or the tenure is dropping but we have experienced people on the outsource side, then we need to take that into account, but our thinking here was mostly a data analysis to give folks a framework to talk about this problem that we see going forward.

>> okay. Thank you. On that it's moving target. Cut 800 jobs last year, 500 jobs this year, next year could be equally as bad and to the extent you can figure this out before we start making decisions for next year 'cause if you just go look at the static picture today it is going to be changing again in a year. Another 10% reduction in the workforce. I don't know how you project out what might happen but i think if we could inform the manager's decisions in the budget process as the manager and her staff are looking at where the reduction staff comes from, that could be helpful, i think in identifying maybe changes in policies, classifications and some of the things we have talked about that we might need do ahead of the budget decision.

>> and if i could -- sharon and i haven't talked about this but i would also think this could surface areas of risk in terms of where we are most vulnerable in terms of basic systems, oversight and whatever it might be. I think we would find it helpful.

>> that might be a way to prioritize your work instead of look at the entire organization, do some sort of risk assessment. What comes from mind what i have heard from retirement services that we are losing people

for a variety of reasons that you can't just bump somebody out of a department and put them in retirement service and expect them to be able to do the job necessarily.

>> i was going to comment on the bumping. I think one of the more valuable things we could get to out of this is the productivity impacts of the bumping process that we have now. And i know we have already talked about that a little bit.

>> hear hear.

>> anything else on the work plan? I have got a request -- speak mr. Wall?

>> this last topic was of great interest, not too long ago, at my desk, city employee is retiring, not because this employee wants to retire, but because of this sickly buy-out business a lot of the brain trust is leaving. I can cite one person in the laboratory, 40 years-plus. About 15 years ago, he had over 2200 hours sick time the only reason he is leaving is because of this benefit being imposed upon -- being taken away. Now, you might think about this because a person at my desk was in your fingerprint division. You have a backlog at your fingerprint group. These are very technical people in the police department and you are losing it just because of this now, i would like to see at the environmental services department -- first of all, i don't know if you have directed the auditor on the work plan, where she can look at, but i want this type of business to stop. I want the auditor to have unbridled control on any aspect wherever the auditor wants to look. Furthermore, i would like the auditor to have access to funding and consequences from these audits, because we always see the various grays with the auditor, but yet, they screwed up so badly, there's no consequences and so therefore, what we see performance-based management with no consequences, we laugh and we get irritated. Furthermore, the auditor should be able to have the tool of a certified shorthand reporter to accompany the auditor to take quasidepositions. Quasi meaning you're not sworn to tell the truth but you can ask, hey, was the testimony you just gave truthful? So we have an actual record so it is not like, well, i said this on this day because i had -- i was out at the night club last night.

>> sir, your time is up. Anything else on the work plan?

>> motion to approve.

>> motion to approve the work plan. All in favor. Opposed. None opposed, that's approved. Next item is the rules committee meeting schedule for july, most notably, not meeting next week, right?

>> mr. Mayor, we are bringing forth the schedule of meetings for the committee, we are proposing canceling the meetings of next week, july 29th and july 6th and 13th. We are proposing a rules in lieu meeting on the 20th and resuming rules committee meetings on july 27th and then the schedule from there on as laid out.

>> okay, so we would meet july 27th?

>> correct.

>> finalize the agenda for august 2nd and 9th?

>> correct.

>> consideration. Okay.

>> i will make the motion to approve with the note that i won't be here on the 27th. You can have fun without me.

>> again, vice mayor is also going to be gone, but we will need to alert council member herrera so that she's -- knows that we will have a meeting on the 27th. How but? It means you have to be here and i have to be here. Something happens we will end up with a rules in lieu meeting to deal with i suppose. Mr. Wall wants to speak about this take that now.

>> the convenience of not having meetings has got out of hand, mr. Mayor, for many years. Tuesday, for example, with 49 items on the consent calendar should be a continuing saga thank but the business of city deferred to the latest moment and everything is rushed through and some people are continually asleep at the wheel. Therefore, i suggest that you consider having council work year-round. Now, i know some of you have to take off, but at least have a quorum during july and december so the business of the city can continue. And this would actually serve the interest of the public very greatly because it would be interesting to see how many of the people wouldn't be in july there would be a quorum here would actually pay attention and maybe show up to vote and to make decision on the city affairs. This is in reference to all these meetings canceled prior to a holiday or after a holiday and the business of the city just isn't being done in a very professional manner with reference to how 49 items were on the consent calendar tuesday. And is part and parcel to the rules committee 'cause everything comes through here, for the most part. Thank you.

>> that concludes public testimony. A motion to approve? All in favor. Opposed. None opposed. We have an appeal public records request item i believe. Harold frittata.

>> good afternoon, your honors. Should have a background and trail for my early request. I would like to ask for assistance from this committee in some transparency related to how the fees for the permits, the gaming permits for an employee who has a secure one to work in a card room are calculated. We think that particularly in light of mr. Doyle's earlier comments that they are now following distinct guidelines for key employment badge for example to understand, 2 1/2 by 4-inch printed badge is still \$400 even though the work is being done by the state. So that's relatively costly adventure. So, we are asking for at all levels whether it is an entry level, the key employ, a renewal, the -- like if you lose the badge, title change or position change, for some transparency in how the work is calculated because it is supposed to represent cost recovery. So if that is the case, be helpful if we could understand how those costs are affixed.

>> thank you. Comments? City attorney?

>> well, the item hasn't been agendaed for a formal hearing so i would suggest the committee wants to hear it, it be reagendaed for next week or some other time. The -- i have not seen any of these documents so i -- and i don't believe the city manager staff has either. So i this think it's snag we would like to maybe have referred to us and we can respond back to you and agendize it for a future rules meeting and have that discussion.

>> okay. A future rules committee meeting would be july 27th is the next time we meet.

>> it may not be that you have a full rules committee and i'm not scheduled to be here either but there would be coverage. You know this is a topic that has been the subject of litigation and -- before and is also something we had settled at that point, but it's an issue that has come up before, but i have a not seen any of the documents here so i would suggest we come back, probably the first rules meeting in august with a response and we work with staff and the police department primarily with that -- with the response.

>> well, there's -- the public records act request and then isn't there some sort of a right under title 16 to audit the cost recovery calculation? That was -- i think litigation a couple of years ago.

>> and i think that is something we will have to get back to you on in terms of -- there clearly -- to the senators of public records request we have ten dies respond, with if we need additional time we ask for it very good working with constituent manager's office on that but i don't have any personal knowledge of what's going on here so i would like to sort of get to the bottom of it and if we are not responding timely or sufficiently, we will deal with that, but to the extent this committee, but to the extent there's any other issues, that's something that i need to look at the e-mail chain.

>> okay. So, if -- even if they weren't here today, you've got your ten-day rule and the usual response to the public record request, things that will be followed?

>> right. And to the extent there is any deficiency or think we don't correct then we can bring it back to the rules committee or mr. Frittata can bring it back to the rules committee for further action.

>> okay. Pete?

>> i think technically, we are -- this isn't really appeal of a denial because there's been no denial, there's just been no action and i think we've handled these before here where we talk about how we are going to get the information to the person. So i think that's what's important, because i did review it and some of these are well over three weeks old and i would assume when ever we have a fee that is based on cost recovery, we should have a calculation somewhere because we set them. And so, i know you haven't had a chance to look at it and i want to make sure you have the chance but --

>> i would like to point out that there's nothing on the agenda. The public has no notice of whether there's an appeal or a request or anything and that discussion really can't take place. I think to the extent that like coming in on the public record, you get a request, a complaint, the city staff isn't properly responding, i think it's incumbent upon the committee to say city staff, can you take this and get back either to us and/or those folks and get an explanation. I think that's how we take it. And these how we deal with it i don't know about this and i want to get to the bottom of it.

>> ordinarily with woe take this up next week but since next week, there's no meeting, a little bit different on the timing side of it, but i guess i would just request the staff take this and do what you need to do with it and copy the committee on the response, whether it's public records response or whatever other response we've got it and then we can agendize it for either the 27th or second. And maybe it will all be done by then.

>> all right. And we will get copies of these to the necessary parties.

>> commissioner?

>> yeah, that was just my concern, very similar to customer constant that we can just get this to staff and if they can provide some answers to harold and then cc us so that we know what's going on.

>> and then we will put it on our agenda for whenever we meet again.

>> so we will agendize this for the july 27th meet?

>> for the 27th and if it's all gone, it will be gone and if we don't have a quorum, we will have to take it up the following week. We should have a quorum on the 27th, i think. Anything else? Can we have a motion on that just to refer this to staff, bring it back.

>> a good way to go.

>> so moved.

>> second.

>> refer to staff with the response and we will take it up again on the next meeting, which will be july 27th. All in favor? Opposed? None opposed. That's approved. Taking us to the end of the agenda except for open forum. Mark o'connell, david wall.

>> i'm here because of this article that was in the "mercury news" and support council persona when to do everything to shut this down. I teach english to vietnamese immigrants and a community which i have great affection for and great admiration. Last december, i was in hanoi and i was with a young woman that i consider like my daughter. And i will be back in vietnam in december and i would like to bring her to the united states for a visit and i don't want her to see this. This is a bastardization of a proud culture. And there's so many things in this article which are racist, sexist and very offensive. Number one, this thing about -- it's in the culture of vietnam since the early '60s to have these kind of things. That's when women in

vietnam were trophies of war and colonialism and we know it it's in sociological studies. Then there's this thing about you know why a lot of vietnamese guys from here go took vietnam to go to these clubs excuse me, professor happen dough at san jose state does not go back to these club, andrew lamb, renowned author does not go back and these gentlemen that i met in saigon, they don't go to these kind of club and for the police to write off this culture and a proud people and let this kind of thing go on is wrong and if the police can't stop it, then maybe we can get a community group to go in here and start monitoring these clubs, which are oppressing and objectifying women. And last comment where are there cigarettes on the table? It's against california law. So please do something.

>> mr. Wall?

>>

>> your honor, you've been, of late, suffering a dim minute nation of your greenness with reference to the echo passes a lot of employees have been asking me to ask you and i tell them why don't you come in here and ask about these echo passes. Now, mr. Mayor this is -- city hall was built with the proviso a long time ago, echo passes were a quasibenefit to employ yeses to be taken away. So you should look into that so your green armor won't be besmirched or stained. This issue of the fiscal emergency buying, city charter, but, you know, it gives it -- it gives credence to how you speak with a bifurcated tongue, you snow one corner, you are spending money, the others saying you have no money. So, you better stick with it. I mean, country go out and spend all the money that we saw yesterday to or tuesday, yesterday. And say that you have a fiscal emergency. Parking compliance, mayor, could be a revenue generator for that segment of the organization if it was managed differently. And making it parking enforcement. Raise the bail schedules up. Like say the bail schedule for parking in front of a fire hydrant is \$65. Let make it hurt, \$250, 400. Structure it to where the money can be set up in a fund that just funds parking enforcement in permit tight and get it off the general fund. The office of economic development is probably the greatest cheerleading group i've ever seen. But they don't perform. Their redevelopment bank, redevelopment agency is just about history. Personally, i think it is a good idea for it to be had history, but with that, they don't have the ability to

encumber the tax pays to entice businesses at taxpayer expense to come here, so it's really pastime that they be eliminated.

>> time is up.

>> that's it?

>> that's it. That concludes the open forum that conclude ours meeting, we are adjourned.