Ending Homelessness Means

Homelessness is prevented or is a rare, brief, and non-recurring experience.
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Background on Homelessness
“The increase in homelessness is the result of a convergence of three key factors:

1. **loss of affordable housing funding**...
2. **wages and public assistance that have not kept pace with the cost of living**...
3. **and the closing of state psychiatric institutions** without the concomitant creation of community based housing and services.”

Page 10, 2010
Federal Strategic Plan to End Homelessness
Loss of Affordable Housing Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>FY 2008-2009</th>
<th>FY 2014-2015</th>
<th>% CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Redevelopment Low and Moderate Housing Funds</td>
<td>$1,142,555,324</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Housing Bonds and Annual Appropriations</td>
<td>$680,429,821</td>
<td>$325,586,578</td>
<td>-52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD and USDA Loan and Grant Funds</td>
<td>$813,094,531</td>
<td>$566,086,208</td>
<td>-30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,636,079,676</td>
<td>$891,672,786</td>
<td>-66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Declining Income and Increasing Cost of Living

CALIFORNIA’S MEDIAN RENT INCREASED 24% WHILE MEDIAN RENTER INCOME DECLINED 7% FROM 2000 TO 2014

Increase in annual median rent: $2,935.32
% Change in rent:
% Change in Renter Income:
Decrease in annual median renter income: -$3,358.42
Total decline in annual purchasing power: -$6,293.74

Source: CHPC analysis of 2000-2014 Census and ACS data. Median renter income and rent from 2001-2004 and 2015-2016 are estimated trends. Median rent and median renter income are inflation adjusted to 2014 dollars.
Deinstitutionalization
## EXHIBIT 1.8: States with the Highest and Lowest Rates of Unsheltered Homeless People 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highest Rates</th>
<th>Lowest Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CALIFORNIA</strong></td>
<td><strong>RHODE ISLAND</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118,142 Homeless</td>
<td>1,160 Homeless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78,390 Unsheltered</td>
<td>37 Unsheltered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OREGON</strong></td>
<td><strong>NEBRASKA</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13,238 Homeless</td>
<td>2,754 Homeless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,002 Unsheltered</td>
<td>101 Unsheltered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAWAII</strong></td>
<td><strong>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.4%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,921 Homeless</td>
<td>8,350 Homeless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,308 Unsheltered</td>
<td>318 Unsheltered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEVADA</strong></td>
<td><strong>MASSACHUSETTS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.1%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,398 Homeless</td>
<td>19,608 Homeless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,927 Unsheltered</td>
<td>760 Unsheltered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MISSISSIPPI</strong></td>
<td><strong>NEW YORK</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,738 Homeless</td>
<td>86,352 Homeless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>849 Unsheltered</td>
<td>3,591 Unsheltered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2016 HUD Annual Homeless Assessment Report - Local

- **PIT Homeless 7th largest**
- **Chronically Homeless 3rd largest**
- **Unsheltered 3rd overall**
- **Youth & Children 5th largest**
- **2016 Point-in-Time Homeless Count: 6,524**
- **Individuals 7th largest**
- **Veterans 5th largest**
## 2017 Point in Time County - By Jurisdiction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>UNSHELTERED</th>
<th>SHEeltered</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>’15-’17 % CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Incorporated</td>
<td>4,204</td>
<td>5,259</td>
<td>1,817</td>
<td>1,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Campbell</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Cupertino</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Gilroy</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Los Altos</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town of Los Gatos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Milpitas</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Monte Sereno</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Morgan Hill</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mountain View</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Palo Alto</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San José</td>
<td>2,810</td>
<td>3,231</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Clara</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Saratoga</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Sunnyvale</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unincorporated</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidential Locations</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,627</td>
<td>5,448</td>
<td>1,929</td>
<td>1,946</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2017 San José Point in Time Count

**Total Number of Homeless Individuals Enumerated During the Point-in-Time Homeless Census**

- **Total Homeless Population:** 4,350
- **26% Sheltered**
- **74% Unsheltered**

Key Sub-Populations

- **Chronically Homeless | 1,205 Individuals**
  - 11% Sheltered
  - 89% Unsheltered

- **Veterans | 468 Individuals**
  - 41% Sheltered
  - 59% Unsheltered

- **Families | 104 Families with 340 members**
  - 85% Sheltered
  - 15% Unsheltered

- **Unaccompanied Children | 330 Individuals**
  - 0% Sheltered
  - 100% Unsheltered

- **Transition-Age Youth | 1,436 Individuals**
  - 5% Sheltered
  - 95% Unsheltered
Causes of Homelessness

Primary Event or Condition That Led to Homelessness (Top 6 Responses)

- 33% Job loss
- 23% Alcohol/drug abuse
- 20% Eviction
- 16% Argument with a family member
- 13% Divorce/separation/break-up
- 6% Incarceration

Interest in Permanent Housing
91% of survey respondents said YES when asked if they would accept affordable permanent housing if it became available tomorrow.

Obstacles to Permanent Housing (Top 4 Responses)

- 67% Cannot afford rent
- 60% Unemployed/unemployed
- 19% Bad credit
- 17% No money for moving costs
Need for Services

What is a disabling condition?

70% of survey respondents reported a disabling condition

A disabling condition is defined here as a physical disability, mental illness, chronic depression, alcohol or drug abuse, chronic health problems, HIV/AIDS, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), or a developmental disability.

Health Conditions

Current health conditions affecting housing stability or employment:

- Drug or alcohol abuse: 49%
- Psychiatric or emotional conditions: 33%
- Physical disability: 28%
- Chronic health problems: 25%
- Post-traumatic stress disorder: 15%
- Traumatic brain injury: 5%
- AIDS/HIV related: <1%

(Note: Multiple response question, numbers will not total to 100%)
Update on Community Plan to End Homelessness
• Collective Impact

• Home Not Found

• Community Plan to End Homelessness
Beginning of Collective Impact

Andy & Ernestine were homeless for 20 years. Andy, a double-amputee was living along a creek in San Jose.

They are now housed thanks to Housing 1000.
THE LARGEST & MOST COMPREHENSIVE COST STUDY OF HOMELESSNESS IN THE USA
104,206 individuals in Santa Clara County over 6 years - between 2007 and 2012

$520 MILLION / YEAR
providing services for homeless residents over the six-year study

53% HEALTH CARE
34% JUSTICE SYSTEM
13% SOCIAL SERVICES
Evidence-Based Results of Housing First

THE LARGEST & MOST COMPREHENSIVE
COST STUDY OF HOMELESSNESS IN THE USA
104,206 individuals in Santa Clara County over 6 years - between 2007 and 2012

HOUSING 1000

Pre-housing $62,473 - Post-housing $19,767 = Reduction of $42,706

For the 103 homeless residents in the tenth cost decile who were housed through Housing 1000 program, the estimated average annual pre-housing public cost was $62,473. The estimated average post-housing cost was $19,767, a reduction of $42,706 annually.
Community Plan to End Homelessness

HOW CHANGE WILL HAPPEN:

1. **DISRUPT SYSTEMS**
   Develop disruptive strategies and innovative prototypes that transform the systems related to housing homeless people.

2. **BUILD THE SOLUTION**
   Secure the right amount of funding needed to provide housing and services to those who are homeless and those at risk of homelessness.

3. **SERVE THE PERSON**
   Adopt an approach that recognizes the need for client-centered strategies with different responses for different levels of need and different groups, targeting resources to the specific individual or household.
Update on Community Plan Goals

Community Plan to End Homelessness in Santa Clara County 2015-2020

2016 Annual Report

Over the past two years, the community has taken concrete steps to build a system with the housing capacity to meet its needs and highly effective interventions to permanently end homelessness for individuals and households. As a result, the community has measurably increased the rate of connection to permanent housing out of the homeless response system, and the percentage of those persons who remain stably housed.

1. Disrupt Systems
   Disrupt and transform existing homeless response systems

   VASH Voucher Utilization
   December 2016: 81%
   December 2015: 63%

   Total Housed
   2016: 1,741
   2015: 1,212

2. Build the Solution
   New housing opportunities

   Measure A
   $950 million for affordable housing

   Joint RFP for
   $48 million in capital funds

3. Serve the Person
   Deploy the new solutions with a client-centered approach to meet each individual's needs.

   2016
   $5.97 Million in Prevention Funding
   2016
   510 Veterans Housed

Find the full 2016 Annual Report at: destinationhomescc.org

Destination Home is a program of The Health Trust
System Performance, Data, and Outcome
Supportive Housing System

- Homelessness Prevention
- Transitional Housing
- Permanent Supportive Housing
- Rapid Rehousing
- Coordinated Entry System
- Homeless Management Information System
- Technical Assistance, Training, and Policy
- Performance Management
- Bridge / Interim Housing / Shelter
- HUD Continuum of Care
Local Investments Last Three Years

- Rapid Rehousing
- Permanent Supportive Housing
- Emergency Shelter
- Homeless Prevention
- Transitional Housing
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Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations

Of Persons in ES, TH, and RRH who Exited, the Percentage of Successful Exits to Permanent Housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>9/1/14-8/31/15</th>
<th>9/1/15-8/31/16</th>
<th>9/1/16-8/31/17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPID REHOUSING</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSITIONAL HOUSING</td>
<td></td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMERGENCY SHELTER</td>
<td></td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Returns to Homelessness

Percentage of People who Return to Homelessness in less than 6 Months, 1 Year, and 2 Years of Exiting to Permanent Housing (N = Exits 9/14 to 8/15)

- **SYSTEM (N=1591)**
  - 6 Months: 10%
  - 1 Year: 15%
  - 2 Years: 19%

- **PERMANENT HOUSING (N=68)**
  - 6 Months: 4%
  - 1 Year: 6%
  - 2 Years: 7%

- **RAPID REHOUSING (N=315)**
  - 6 Months: 5%
  - 1 Year: 10%
  - 2 Years: 14%

- **TRANSITIONAL HOUSING (N=589)**
  - 6 Months: 10%
  - 1 Year: 14%
  - 2 Years: 17%

- **EMERGENCY SHELTER (N=599)**
  - 6 Months: 11%
  - 1 Year: 18%
  - 2 Years: 22%
12-Month Housing Placements Total

Total Housing Placements in Reported Month + Prior 11 Months

- Sep-16: 1811
- Oct-16: 1847
- Nov-16: 1893
- Dec-16: 1905
- Jan-17: 1928
- Feb-17: 1904
- Mar-17: 1902
- Apr-17: 1899
- May-17: 1912
- Jun-17: 1934
- Jul-17: 1975
- Aug-17: 1987
VI-SPDAT Scores

**Permanent Supportive Housing**
Score of 9+ for Families w/Children
Score of 8+ for Individuals

**Rapid Rehousing**
Score of 4-8 for Families w/Children
Score of 4-7 for Individuals

**Minimal Intervention**
Score of 0-3

**Community Queue**
7,169 Households
As of June 30, 2017

34%
46%
20%
Permanent Supportive Housing

• Capacity as of September 30, 2017
  ➢ CCP: 1,330 Households; HUD VASH: 932 Households
  ➢ City Funds 14% of case management capacity; 60% of enrolled clients are from San José

• Key Additions / Special Programs
  ➢ Project Welcome Home
  ➢ State / Continuum
Permanent Supportive Housing

• Key Performance Indicators
  ➢ 84% of housed persons retain housing for 12+ months
  ➢ 38% housed within 90 days of enrollment in services
  ➢ 52% with incomes $850 or greater
Project Welcome Home After 18 Months

Utilization of VMC Inpatient, VMC ED, EPS, BAP, and Jail Before and After being permanently housed
RRH Investments Past Three Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>San José</th>
<th>Other Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY15-16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16-17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RRH Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RRH Program Type (Based on Target Population)</th>
<th>Annual Capacity (Households)</th>
<th>Target Point in Time Capacity</th>
<th>Enrolled Households</th>
<th>Enrolled as a Percentage of Target Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Families</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families and Singles</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth (18-24 Years)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Adults</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reentry</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNUAL CAPACITY (HOUSEHOLDS)

Rapid Re-Housing Capacity, Enrollment, Housed, and Exits
7/1/17-9/30/17

- Families
- Families and Singles
- Youth (18-24 Years)
- Single Adults
- Reentry
- Veterans

Families:
- 1214
  - Annual Capacity
  - Target Point in Time Capacity
  - Enrolled
  - Housed
  - Exit

Families and Singles:
- 240
  - Annual Capacity
  - Target Point in Time Capacity
  - Enrolled
  - Housed
  - Exit

Youth (18-24 Years):
- 130
  - Annual Capacity
  - Target Point in Time Capacity
  - Enrolled
  - Housed
  - Exit

Single Adults:
- 62
  - Annual Capacity
  - Target Point in Time Capacity
  - Enrolled
  - Housed
  - Exit

Reentry:
- 120
  - Annual Capacity
  - Target Point in Time Capacity
  - Enrolled
  - Housed
  - Exit

Veterans:
- 200
  - Annual Capacity
  - Target Point in Time Capacity
  - Enrolled
  - Housed
  - Exit

- 231
  - Annual Capacity
  - Target Point in Time Capacity
  - Enrolled
  - Housed
  - Exit

- 413
  - Annual Capacity
  - Target Point in Time Capacity
  - Enrolled
  - Housed
  - Exit

- 124
  - Annual Capacity
  - Target Point in Time Capacity
  - Enrolled
  - Housed
  - Exit

- 134
  - Annual Capacity
  - Target Point in Time Capacity
  - Enrolled
  - Housed
  - Exit
Average Total Household Income at Entry and Exit for all Contributing Household Members

- Average Total Household Income at ENTRY
- Average Total Household Income at EXIT
- Income Limit - Extremely Low (30% of AMI)

Household Size

1 (n=641) $11,777
2 (n=146) $16,436
3 (n=120) $17,812
4 (n=65) $19,564
5 (n=34) $21,623
6 (n=14) $19,763

Income Range:
- $15,175
- $23,984
- $25,144
- $27,105
- $29,825
- $35,222
- $35,800
- $32,250
- $28,650
- $25,100
- $41,550
Homeless Prevention Investments Past Three Years

- $500,000
- $1,000,000
- $1,500,000
- $2,000,000
- $2,500,000

Santa Clara  San José  Mountain View  Morgan Hill  Gilroy  County

Sum of FY15-16 Budget  Sum of FY16-17 Budget  Sum of FY 17-18 Budget

- Santa Clara
- San José
- Mountain View
- Morgan Hill
- Gilroy
- County
# Temporary Housing Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Households</th>
<th>Individuals (Est.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>1182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>1136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Shelters</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Shelters</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Shelter</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight Warming Locations</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1890</strong></td>
<td><strong>2569</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Emergency Shelter Investments Past Three Years

Sum of FY15-16 Budget  Sum of FY16-17 Budget  Sum of FY17-18 Budget

- $1,000,000
- $2,000,000
- $3,000,000
- $4,000,000
- $5,000,000
- $6,000,000
- $7,000,000

Other Cities
San José
County
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>Program / Housing Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Low-Income (ELI): 30% or less of Area Median Income (AMI)</td>
<td>$700 M</td>
<td>Multifamily Rental including units used as Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) or Rapid Rehousing (RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low-Income (VLI): 31% to 50% of AMI</td>
<td>$100 M</td>
<td>Multifamily Rental (does not exclude PSH or RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 120% AMI</td>
<td>Up to $150 M</td>
<td>Multifamily Rental and First-Time Homebuyers (Assistance and Production)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Supportive Housing Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Existing Developments</th>
<th>Supportive Housing Units</th>
<th>Pipeline Developments</th>
<th>Supportive Housing Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupertino</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilroy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Altos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Altos Hills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Gatos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milpitas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte Sereno</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Hill</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain View</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Alto</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saratoga</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunnyvale</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unincorporated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara County</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>613</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Challenges
Impacts of Homelessness

Community

Environmental

Health and Human
Community Impact
Example: Food and Goods Distribution in City Parks
Response Strategy – Education and Redirection

- Ensure nearby food resources for those in need
- Provide information on volunteer opportunities
- Educate the public on applicable codes
Environmental Impact
Example: Encampment Issues Along Waterways
Response Strategy – Direct Discharge
Trash Reduction Program

• Outreach
• Abatement
• Restoration
• Prevention and Activation
Health and Human Impact
Example: Hepatitis A Outbreak
Response Strategy – Essential Services Programs

• Outreach
• Basic Needs Support
• Inclement Weather Centers
Immediate Crisis Response
Immediate Crisis Response Issues

- Siting
- Public Process
- Funding
Discussion on Opportunities
Opportunities

- Partnerships
- Ordinances
- Land Use/Planning
- Housing Ready Communities
Partnerships

HOUSING AUTHORITY
SANTA CLARA COUNTY

making homes, growing communities
Planning and Land Use

• Retain our land use authority
• Facilitate the process
  ➢ Dedicated Planner

• Inclusionary Housing Ordinance
  ➢ Alternative options including off-site and land dedication
Investments in Supportive Housing:
By the Numbers Since 2016.....

City Investment of $57,500,000
($12,000,000 pending)

Production Pipeline - 1,880
1,048 Homeless
(PSH / RRH)

Potential Tax Payer Savings
$33,438,798 Annually
## Status of Supportive Housing: Approved Developments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th># of Homeless Units (PSH and/or RRH)</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Estimated Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara Inn</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaza Hotel</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>November 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont House</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>November 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIP Properties</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>March 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurel Grove Family</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Street Studios</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>September 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Avenue Seniors</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>January 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan South</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>January 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renascent Place</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>January 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villas on the Park</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Construction Pending</td>
<td>June 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quetzal Gardens</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Construction Pending</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North San Pedro</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Construction Pending</td>
<td>September 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leigh Avenue Seniors</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Construction Pending</td>
<td>September 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions